

"have its own conference with the Admiralty and that then a report should be made to conference as to what had been agreed upon."

Then he went on to say that Canada did not agree to either of these two proposals, and I have again to repeat that we did not agree and we placed on record our opinion as to what our policy should be. The question has often been referred to, but it bears repetition. It is well that I should state what we did on that occasion and what was the policy to which we assented, which we declared and which has been expressed for the last six months before the Franklin people. We stated that:

I beg to call the attention of the House to the fact that we refused to be drawn into the position which was asked of us that we should have a military force under the direct control of the war office and we insisted on maintaining our autonomy in this respect as in everything else. The same question came up in 1907 upon a motion moved by Dr. Smartt of Cape Colony (to which the hon. member for North Grey (Mr. Midholtz) has just alluded). It is well that I should be permitted to quote again the motion of Dr. Smartt and now you will have the reason why we did not agree to it. The motion of Dr. Smartt was in these words

"That this conference, recognizing the vast importance of the services rendered by

the navy to the defence of the empire and
the protection of its trade, and the para-
mount importance of continuing to maintain
the navy in the highest possible state of
efficiency, considers it to be the duty of the
dominions beyond the seas to make such
contributions towards the upkeep of the
navy as may be determined by their local
legislatures. The contributions will take the
form of a grant of money, the establishment
of local naval defence, or such other ser-
vices, in such manner as may be decided
upon after consultation with the Admiralty
and in would best accord with varying cir-
cumstances."

Some have seen him here.

Sir WHIPPLE LAUFER: My hon. friend opposite placed the question and in answer I will tell them who did not take any notice of those circumstances at the time I was not at all disturbed by the obloquy cast upon me for I knew I was in the right and I knew the time would come when the position I had then taken would be justified even in the eyes of this House. And, Sir, that day has come. It has come sooner than I expected; it came the other day when the leader of the opposition moved his motion and when he made the speech he then made. I owe a debt of gratitude to my hon. friend the leader of the opposition for having adopted as he did the ideas which I presented on that occasion, and which I reassert today. I do not know that I should thank my hon. friend (Mr. R. L. Burdett) for his good intentions; I do not think there was any good intent there, but I thank him the deepest expression of my gratitude for his action, and now I shall quote his own very words and point out to him in what respect I owe him that gratitude, and in what way he has adopted the very policy which I advocated in 1902 and in 1906. When my hon. friend moved his motion he indulged in a very natural supposition for him, for he talked of what he would do if he were in office. He has long been thinking of that I know, and perhaps he may have to think of it for some time to come, but at all events it