

Q. To what condition are you referring? To the condition under which Members of Parliament received \$100 for getting permits?—A. No sir.

Q. To the condition under which permits were granted?—A. To the condition that permits were being given out to people over the country who were using them improperly.

Q. In what way did they use them improperly?—A. People were getting them who ordinarily were not entitled to come into Canada, and they were paying the people getting them sums of money for them.

Q. Permits are only granted in the case of people who ordinarily are not permitted to come in?—A. Yes.

Q. Was the statement made, or were words used by Mr. Forke, which would indicate that under the Hon. Mr. Stewart or under his successor in office permits were obtained and that those who obtained them were receiving improperly large sums of money for obtaining them?—A. That was certainly my understanding, sir.

Hon. Mr. FORKE: Did I say it? Do not say what your understanding is.

By Mr. Glen:

Q. In your statement you say that you know.—A. Yes sir.

Q. Then in speaking on a public platform you were speaking of something you think was told to you?—A. Yes sir.

Q. I would like to make this absolutely clear. So far as this statement made by you at Regina is concerned it was based on a conversation you had with Mr. Forke and had reference only to two men, E. J. McMurray and the defeated man?—A. No sir.

Q. You said so in answer to Mr. Cahan.—A. No sir. I said "two Members of Parliament", but I had discussed the permit system in a much larger way than that because, Mr. Chairman, I have explained to the Committee how this thing first came to my attention.

Q. But you said in answer to Mr. Cahan that it only referred to two men, one man you named and the other man you could not name.—A. I answered Mr. Cahan to that effect, but I said those were the names given to me, but I did not say those were the only two names which might have been given to me.

Q. But you did not know, did you?—A. I do not, sir, but I know of two.

Q. Then we can assume that your whole statement was based on the names of two men who "are Members of Parliament" as you put it in your statement. These two men were not Members at the time you were speaking.—A. I did not say they were Members of Parliament; I said they were Members of Parliament but prior to Mr. Forke's ministry.

Q. No, you did not.—A. I beg your pardon.

Q. You make the accusation that all Members of Parliament are trafficking in permits?—A. Absolutely not.

Q. Is that not the only inference we can draw, that Members of Parliament are getting \$100 each for getting permits?—A. I do not think so. I say this, though, that in order to get an investigation of this kind into the records of a Department if two men have been doing this, the whole Department should be investigated. That was my contention.

By Mr. Millar:

Q. May I ask if the defeated candidate was ever a Member of Parliament?—A. I never said "defeated candidate". I do not see why the Members of this Committee are so frequently quoting me as having said "defeated candidate".

Q. You cannot remember his name? Do you remember what province he comes from?—A. Yes sir; at least, I remember the part of the country in which it was indicated to me he lives.

Q. Where was that?—A. He lived in the maritime provinces.