dinary dland. o my th the Liberty ill alfo which thefe it Cemper at Sort

. Newdgment againt on Mr. a poor or write. ind, and indland, As well ne Pay-Ian had , with-The tht this where h little write; Point of at Obpaid at againft I have abovu (5)

above defcribed, and not thinking himfelf juftified with his Principal until he had flood out the utmost Process of the Law, refused to comply with the Judgment, which, in confequence, was carried into Execution by an Attachment and Sale of the Effects.

This Proceeding was made a Subject of Complaint at the Board of Trade; the Injustice of the Judgment, and still more the Injustice of the Execution, were urged in the ftrongest Terms; and the Complainants concluded their Representation with a Prayer (which is the fingular Part of this Bufinefs for which I principally relate the Story) that all the Judges of the Common Pleas, being Four or Five Perfons, together with the Sheriff, should be funt for to England to answer for this high Offence. This Complaint was referred to me as Law Officer of the Board of Trade, to report my Opinion thereon. It is unneceffary to mention what that Report was; but the Opinion I then formed has been confirmed by what I have fince feen at Newfoundland.

Suffice it to fay, the Board of Trade did not think this either an Error in Judgment, or a Malicioutnets of Intention, fufficient to call Five or Six Gentlemen acrofs the Atlantic to anfwer for the Part they had taken in it.

Another Example of the Difpolition and Commut of these Gentiemen I shall bring to the Recollection of this Committee from among the printed Evidence. It is the Cafe of an Agent of Mr. Ougier,

B 3

An