I wholly agree with that clause in the re-solution. One word and I have done. Not only was the money paid in the manner mentioned, but the Minister went to the Governor General and asked to have a warrant to pay it, and he deliberately did so. Here was the money expended that Parlia-ment had voted, which, according to the estimate of the department, was all sufficient for its purpose, two votes, one of which has not been referred to, namely, an amount of \$50,000 or \$51,000 in the supplementary estimates, making altogether \$226,000 in con-

and at both so the second seco

nection with the Lachine Canal ; but on the 4th of May we know that the Minister went to the Governor and represented that there were debts due by the Crown so pressing in character and urgent as to entitle him to issue a warrant. That was a deliberate act on the part of the officer, and if the Governon the part of the oncer, and if the vovern-ment could by any means have enabled this man St. Louis to keep the money, they did it then, for they took every step which, according to my light, it was possible to do in order to make that money and payment safe in the hands of St. Louis.