

private sector or those charged by other levels of government.

2. The Prime Minister and members of the government in Parliament.

3. (a) (i) The appraisal was carried out by qualified, certified appraisors of the Department of Public Works. (ii) The previous rents were nominal and were set by the Real Estate Branch of the Ministry of Transport. They were not set on the basis of land value. (b) The land value has not increased by 800 per cent. Previous rentals were nominal and bore no relationship whatsoever to land values. The present rates represent 8 per cent of the appraised value of the land.

4. The government believes that inflation must be fought on all fronts. One way is by ensuring that, through sound management principles, the public receives fair return on its holdings. This reduces the amount of money necessary for public programs which must be collected through direct taxation. There is no justification for some people to enjoy a substantial economic advantage over their neighbours because they are occupying public land.

5. No. Reasonable and sensible rental increases are presently being charged along the Rideau Canal. A return to the unrealistic rental rates that were formerly applied cannot be justified. It is intended to continue the policy of periodic rental review to ensure that rentals of public lands reflect the realistic value of that land as determined by today's market.

RADIO ADVERTISING

Question No. 1,359—Mr. Herbert:

1. What was the estimated percentage of listeners at peak radio listening time to those CBC radio stations in Montreal and Toronto that will soon be prevented by regulation from accepting advertising?

2. Have any soundings been taken to ascertain whether the advertisers on CBC Radio will continue to spend their radio budgets in the same media for the benefit of the privately-owned stations?

	Manitoba	British Columbia	Ontario
1971-72	\$58,910.00	\$1,066,750.14	\$ 80,057.53
1972-73		1,294,598.51	1,038,656.29
1973-74		2,183,692.75	755,408.13
1974-75			230,194.81

2.	Project	Total Commitment of funds
	1. District of Kent	\$ 2,680,349.00
*	2. District of Matsqui	2,283,600.00
*	3. Township of Chilliwack	5,847,435.00
*	3. Corporation of Delta	12,102,255.00
	5. District of Surrey	1,259,250.00
	6. Sumas Dyking District	99,864.00
	7. Seabird Island Indian Reserve	85,521.02
	8. City of Kamloops	1,283,400.00
*	9. Township of Richmond	12,983,500.00
	10. Nicomen Island	100,000.00
	Total	\$38,725,174.02
	Federal Share	\$19,362,587.01

*These projects are still under construction
Other projects are virtually completed

Order Paper Questions

3. Were any representations made to CRTC by the private sector of the broadcasting system alleging that CBC was engaging in unfair competition?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation and the Canadian Radio-Television Commission as follows: 1. At peak listening time in Toronto (7:30—8:15 a.m. on weekdays) station CBL has an approximate 7 per cent share of the total listening audience. In Montreal, the corresponding figure for station CBM is approximately 2 per cent and for station CBF approximately 8 per cent. (In both cities, the 100 per cent base includes all listeners, whatever their linguistic abilities.)

2. The CRTC advises that no soundings as such have been taken concerning the likelihood of advertisers on CBC radio continuing to use the radio medium through private stations. It is considered that, in those areas affected by the removal of advertising on CBC radio, there will be opportunity for interested advertisers to use private stations. It might be pointed out that potential benefit to private stations was not a consideration in reaching the decision that CBC radio should withdraw from advertising.

3. No representations were made to the CRTC by the private sector of the broadcasting system alleging that CBC was engaging in unfair competition in the case of radio.

FLOOD PROTECTION

Question No. 1,517—Mr. Johnston:

1. By province, what has the government spent in each year since 1971 on shared programmes for building approved permanent works for flood protection?

2. What specific projects have been undertaken in British Columbia since 1971 and at what cost per project?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council): In so far as the Department of the Environment is concerned: 1.