

Family Allowances Act

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent.

[Translation]

Mr. André Fortin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order with regard to the motion of the hon. member for Bellechasse (Mr. Lambert). You expressed the wish that those who refuse their consent do so clearly to facilitate your task: you are often caught between two fires when time comes to apply Standing Order 43. Mr. Speaker, we Creditists are still unsure about the fate of the motion of the hon. member of Bellechasse, since even you had doubts that there were nays. Exactly what is the situation; can the motion be put or not?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member is the only one to be confused; as far as I am concerned, there was a no and the motion cannot be put.

* * *

[English]

FAMILY ALLOWANCES ACT

On the order: Introduction of Bills:

July 19, 1973—Mr. Stackhouse—Bill intituled: "An Act to amend the Family Allowances Act".

Mr. Reg Stackhouse (Scarborough East): Mr. Speaker, the bill I have presented, purporting as it does to authorize payment of family allowances to Canadian parents residing outside Canada but working for Canadian governments or Canadian companies, may not be in order, as you have suggested, in so far as its financial implications touch on the prerogatives of the Crown. Beauchesne says a private member's bill touching on these prerogatives requires the consent or recommendation of the Crown. To that end I have discussed the bill with the appropriate minister who thinks the purpose of the bill is included in the amendments proposed in his own family allowances bill. As a result, I have sent him a copy of my bill for study. If its objective is achieved by the government's bill proposing amendments to the Family Allowances Act, I readily agree that my bill should be withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member puts the Chair in a difficult position. He cannot withdraw a bill conditionally. I suggest this is a point of order. The hon. member has the procedural right to propose a bill, but he cannot say he will withdraw it if the minister agrees to certain conditions. I am not pressing the hon. member, but if this is the argument he proposes in support of the procedural acceptability of his bill I will study the matter more closely and make a ruling. The hon. member's approach is apparently that if certain conditions are met he will withdraw his bill. I suggest to him that this is not proper procedure. I will study the matter more closely and make a ruling tomorrow on the hon. member's bill.

[Mr. Speaker.]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

[Text]

JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT

Question No. 2,366—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. Has Mr. L. Stewart Willoughby of Kingston, Ontario received a judicial appointment from the government and, if so, what is the nature of this appointment and what considerations led to such an appointment?

2. If he has not received any appointment, is he about to receive it or is he being considered for it and, if so, what is the nature of it?

Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Justice): 1. No.

2. Governments in the past have not commented on matters relating to specific judicial appointments except in respect to policy and procedure.

MULTICULTURALISM GRANTS

Question No. 2,439—**Mr. Mazankowski:**

1. How many multiculturalism grant applications (a) were received (b) were approved throughout Canada as of May 31, 1973?

2. By province, as of May 31, 1973 (a) what was the number of projects (i) received (ii) approved (b) what was the amount of funds granted?

Hon. Stanley Haidasz (Minister of State): 1. Between the announcement of the grants program in October 1971 and May 31, 1973, 857 grants applications were received, of which 401 were approved.

2. By province (not including grants for multicultural centres, ethnic histories, etc.)

	Received	Approved	Amount
British Columbia	75	42	\$110,235.00
Alberta	136	58	143,733.50
Saskatchewan	36	19	32,800.00
Manitoba	43	17	70,615.00
Ontario	376	169	759,944.00
Quebec	163	80	346,065.00
Nova Scotia	23	13	31,735.00
New Brunswick	4	3	7,400.00
Prince Edward Island	—	—	—
Newfoundland	—	—	—

CANADIAN HORSES SHIPPED ABROAD

Question No. 2,575—**Mr. Rowland:**

1. Of the Canadian horses shipped abroad during the past five years, what percentage was shipped for breeding purposes?

2. In respect of horses shipped for breeding purposes during the past five years, for each year (a) what breeds were involved (b) what were the numbers of each breed shipped (c) in the case of each breed, how many were (i) stallions (ii) mares (d) for each breed, what was the age range of the horses shipped?