

that?" I listened to the rest of the broadcast, the last line of which was, "Nothing has been said about paying these girls for any work done." I looked around for the chap who wanted our government to do something like that—but he had vanished.

It saddens me to see the attitude taken by those who by virtue of their official positions, by virtue of their education and abilities, by virtue of the opportunities that this country has given them should be the natural leaders of the youth of this country. Instead of leading them to believe that there is defeat and nothing else in store for them, they should be telling our youth that there are more diversified opportunities in Canada for them to-day than there ever were in the past. It is true that to-day a man must go out and make his own job, perhaps more than he ever had to do before, but how can one expect any government to make jobs for all who come along? That cannot be done unless the government is to take over full control, backed up with bayonets like our dictator friends in Europe, and make every one work without any consideration or regard for the pay he shall receive.

This is not a new story for my socialist friends. I should like to quote what their national organizer, at one time a member of this parliament, had to say. The date I have on the clipping before me is November 22, 1933, and it quotes Mr. Garland as follows:

Mr. Garland emphasized the tragedy of the hopeless outlook for the rising generation, stating that the youths of to-day were being simply poured out into the world—with no prospect of any kind of jobs.

If these youths are being poured out into the world to-day, it may be that they find it hard to get jobs. But if they made use of the education they received; if they got out in the open places and took advantage of the natural resources of the country; if they were willing to fight against nature and try to solve their own problems with the education they had received, they would be much better occupied than in listening to counsels of despair. In the *Evening Citizen* of January 27, 1939, the following paragraph appeared:

Such great leaders and creators as Henry Ford, and "Boss" Kettering of General Motors, see nothing in the present confusion and cloudy atmosphere of affairs but big things ahead. They see in the inequalities and imperfections of to-day but the promise of a better and happier life ahead for all.

Teachers and schools are unable to put vision and will into people. These must be born with one and developed from within. And the more they are developed the more they spread and enlarge. Aspiration, nurtured in the human heart, acquires rootage that gives to dreams their everlasting foliage.

Neither teachers nor school can draw a map of the dimensions of a human being's area or limitations. What we are that is peculiar and distinctive to ourselves is what measures out our worth and which appraises us to the world. With what endowments we are born!

Let me say in all sincerity; let me say in all humility if you will, so you will consider my words, that what the government and leaders in this country should be trying to do is to secure better people, rather than trying to put people into better circumstances. Slums do not make slum people; it is slum people who make slums. Some encouragement should be given by the government—the scientists, biologists and statisticians can show them how because they can measure men; I admitted a short while ago I could not measure my hon. friend the leader of the opposition—so that we may have in this dominion people with better health, more sanity and greater intellectual energy. When that is done we shall be able to get away from many of the troubles we have to-day and have had during the last few years. Every boy and girl leaving school should know that at no time in the world's history have two and two made other than four. They should be taught not to listen to those who for their own advancement try to make them believe that two and two make five or six or eight, depending upon how they want to juggle things.

There is just one other item I want to bring to the attention of my good friend, the hon. member for Rosetown-Biggart (Mr. Coldwell). When the hon. member for Cochrane (Mr. Bradette) was speaking the other day, he dealt with the connection between communists and socialists. I shall not spend any time in going into this in detail, because I contend that the progress from socialism to communism is a logical move, step by step. There is, however, this difference. The communist is intellectually honest because he tells you where he is going, what he wants to do and how he intends to do it when he gets there. He deserves some respect, but the other chap camouflages too much. The hon. member for Cochrane gave full credit to the Cooperative Commonwealth Federation for not associating with the communists. At that moment the hon. member for Rosetown-Biggart interrupted, and said:

In a public statement in Saskatchewan last week, Walter Wiggins, secretary of the communist party, called for a united front behind the present Prime Minister of this country.

At which moment I said:

And your Saskatchewan leader asked them to unite behind the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre.