

On the basis of these shipping weights and frequencies, the company could compare alternative distribution costs as illustrated in the following chart.

*				*
*	COMPARING AND EVALUATING DISTRIBUTION COSTS			
*	(Daily Production of 1,200 lb., annual shipments of 300,000 lb.)			
*	(Origin: Halifax - Destination: New York)			
*				*
*		DAILY	DAILY	WEEKLY
*		AIR	LTL TRUCK	LTL TRUCK
*				FULL
*				TRUCKLOAD
*1.	Shipment			
*	size (lb.)	1,200	1,200	6,000
*				40,000
*2.	Freight rate in			
*	\$ per 100 lb.	51.71	28.35	27.06
*				5.24
*3.	Annual			
*	Transportation			
*	Cost	\$155,130	\$85,050	\$81,180
*				\$15,720
*4.	Number of days			
*	goods must be			
*	inventoried before			
*	shipping	0	0	5
*				33
*5.	Preshipment carrying			
*	costs (at 12% interest)			
*	per shipment	0	0	\$24.65
*				\$922.19
*6.	Number of			
*	shipments	250	250	50
*				7.5
*7.	Annual inventory+			
*	carrying cost (5&6)	0	0	1,726
*				6,916
*	Total annual			
*	distribution			
*	cost (3&7)	\$155,130	\$85,050	\$82,896
*				\$22,636
*	+Does not include capital and operating costs of warehousing			
*				*

As transit times using any of the four alternatives would be 2 or 3 days, the carrying costs of goods in transit (i.e., inventory on wheels) would be the same in each case. It is obvious however that if this shipper has available warehouse space and can convince his customer(s) to increase their order size up to a full truckload and wait up to six weeks between shipments, the saving in