I am running it is a question whether you can get boiler power enough to make it pay, at the same time eliminating the smoke and run in competition with the Hydro-Electric system.

### Mr. Bannon,-

After listening to what Mr. Bly has said it is up to me to say something.

As far as the smoke by-law is concerned I am not going to defend it. Any plant that is burning bituminous coal will certainly smoke no matter what devise is put in.

I understand that Mr. Bly is using a salt solution of some kind to eliminate the smoke nuisance, and probably he will tell us something about it.

# Mr. Bly,-

I would like to know where you got your information from?

# Mr. Bannon,-

My source of information is the people who make the solution.

I understand that he is burning 50% of anthracite coal and 50% of bituminous coal without any smoke, which is caused solely by this solution, and the statement I read was made over Mr. Bly's signature.

# Mr. Bly,-

If you have read any such statement, I do not know anything about it, as no solution I have had ever eliminated any smoke. As far as the solution is concerned it is the "Fisher Fuel Economizer." These people came to my place and made a test themselves. I am going to make a proper test of this solution in a few days with different kinds of fuel, and I shall be in a position to give you correct information inside of a week.

### Mr. McRobert,-

When this was tested at our plant, my firemen said that it was the hardest day's work that they had ever had.

### Mr. Bly,-

In watching the smoke stacks in the city I find that the weather conditions have much to do with the smoke. Not very long ago I was watching one of the city stacks, and after it had been smoking for about eight minutes the wind shifted. The wind was south-west and shifted to the north, and in less than two minutes after the wind shifted there was practically no smoke in the city. I have noticed this happen several