

—it is not the casting of contempt upon our ideas—it is not the abhorrence of our doctrine, it is our rejection—it is a casting of contempt upon us—it is an abhorrence of us, because we are Christ's and Christ's are God's.

Because we do not come with the pre-conceived notions that have been honored by forty generations, though we came unto our own, our own received us not.

We have reference just now, specially, to those of the Canada Holiness Association as well as the churches.

There are those who claim affiliation with us, yea, whose names are on the C. H. A. books, as Secretary Colling can testify, who are declaring that we have no "love"—that we have "ceased going out after sinners," who, if Jesus were here, would come like "the seventy" crying Lord, Lord, haven't we in thy name done many mighty works, but who, if Jesus came for them this moment would "receive him not."

We have in our mind just now one such, who, in a recent convention, prated about "love," and while claiming to belong to the C. H. A., declared we had "backslidden," and yet, within a week or two, we have word that this very disciple of the Lord Jesus, who is a S. S. Superintendent, "tweaked the nose" of the teacher of the Bible Class in his school because he would not pronounce "Shibboleth" his way.

So it can be said of this Bible Class teacher, he came unto his own superintendent and he received him, but it was with the same kind of reception that was accorded Jesus. In the one case he was "spat upon and buffeted," in the other "his nose was tweaked."

If the days of "daubing with untempered mortar" would only spin out

—if the days of "prophesying smooth things" could only continue—if bogus, mock, spurious "love" would abound, then would there be great gushing receptions held, but because of the use of tempered, instead of untempered mortar—because of dealing in "hard sayings," instead of "smooth things"—because we manifest "real" instead of "mock" love, there is just as contemptuous a reception.

If we would only come preaching "Scriptural holiness" we would be received.

If we would come preaching against the "wearing of gold" and in favor of the wearing of "plain apparel" we would be received.

If we would preach "fastings" and "physical manifestations," going under "the power" and much "genuflection," our message would be accepted as the gospel by many.

If we would only lay stress upon "immersion" by water, "eating of bread" and "drinking of wine" in commemoration of the Lord's death, instead of "righteous living," even as the Lord lived, we would be received.

If to others we would only preach "divine healing" not "faith healing" falsely so called, preach "the anointing with oil" instead of the anointing of the Holy Ghost, we would be received with open arms. But because he came not unto his own thus and so, his own received him not.

Some would receive us and not reject us, if we came in any form by "sight" instead of by "faith."

If we would only not pronounce "Shibboleth" as "Siboleth" there would be no danger of our being slain at the "passage of Jordan." We would be received instead of rejected.

If we would come without "defective