replace U.S.S.R in a changing world: s proble U.S.S.R in a changing world: end used questions that arise on détente

f politiBy Philip E. Uren

n. It p the dand habitar y-advan Above dignity

nvaders. When destiny has brought up two nations ted in the state of similar invention and in natical thority, and given to each a different scale of values; when the nations' arching to restects and poets and painters have created a speed for them opposing kingdoms of sound and a program and subtlety, the universe knows that addy set destiny wasn't preparing for alternative s, railwaways for civilization to flower. It was concurrent triving the Dance of Death, letting loose and the brutality and human folly which is all knows the gods are really contented by." Tiger at

the Gates was ostensibly concerned with nts to classical times, but Giraudoux was neverd matetheless speaking of something continuing the Chirand universal, seemingly out of date in a remarkuclear world but with us still.

When The Communist States and the r occasi^l "We West was published some six years ago est." Tunder the auspices of the Soviet Studies t seem Program at Carleton University, The has α Times of London quoted from it as follows: s may "... each side, for quite different reasons, ear futdeveloped oversimplified and emotionally coloured sterotypes of the other, which obscured the real nature of the conflict." s to ho The reviewer went on to comment that, cene. H"when such a sentence can be written and ety of accepted in Russian and Chinese as well as stage English, the end (of the conflict) will be in bility, esight?'. It is the theme of these few pages al clanthat this devoutly-desired consummation to Chiremains at the far end of a receding more thrainbow.

'Arbatov, writing in Kommunist in tical fro he trainFebruary 1973, had this to say: "The ssing esituation in the world in general leaves no hips am room for the idyll of cloudless existence. a syst The struggle going on in the world arena, ties; a struggle not engendered by someone's leaders whim but by clashes between real class lutical interests and by objective laws governing . (Excepresent-day social development, is too f Pover acute and too complicated." He quoted Leonid Brezhnev's assertion that the world views and class goals of socialism and capitalism are opposite and irreconcilable" and referred to his efforts to "shift this historically inevitable struggle into a channel that does not threaten war". According to Arbatov, the obstacles to this happy process are "the intrigues of forces and groupings in the U.S.A. that have a stake in increasing tension and stepping up the arms race—the military-industrial complex, extreme right-wing elements, Zionist circles, etc". There are, needless to say, no such forces in the Soviet Union in spite of the "opposite and irreconcilable" character of "world views and class goals".

In a period of *détente*, it is perhaps bad form, not to say intellectually unfashionable, to point these things out. Yet what can one do with Professor Novikov of the Moscow State Institute of Physical Culture, who has been quoted in an interesting paper by Professor Riordan to the effect that: ... "given equivalent socio-economic conditions, the level of sports attainments of the socialist states is considerably higher than that of countries under the capitalist system. This is attributable to the fact that in a socialist society socio-economic factors are an index of the well-being of all members of society, while under capitalism they merely reflect the general socioeconomic level with the simultaneous existence within the country of affluence for the few and poverty for the majority". This was put more bluntly by Pravda, when it said "the grand victories of the U.S.S.R. and the fraternal states convincingly demonstrate that socialism opens

Dr. Uren, a historian and political geographer, is director of the School of International Affairs at Carleton University. Formerly director of the Institute of Soviet and East European Studies and professor of geography at Carleton, Dr. Uren has concentrated his research interests on the political geography of the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe. He was the editor of East-West Trade, published in 1966, and co-editor with Professor Adam Bromke of The Communist States and the West. He is currently editing a book on world studies in Soviet and East European affairs, to be published this year. The views expressed are those of Dr. Uren.

