

Made reference to the statement  
 contained in official letter no.  
 66528 of date 18<sup>th</sup> March  
 1886, mentioning that as he had  
 the consent of the General individually  
 to the getting of brick and tile  
 for sand, he was not working  
 against the wishes of the Department  
 in doing as he had done.

The cost of the Contable and  
 witness in the case comes to  
 \$3<sup>00</sup>, thus Mr Arthur is willing to  
 pay, rather than have any party  
 as he states, think he needed to  
 do wrong.

Copy of the statement made  
 statement of ~~Douglas~~ <sup>Hugh</sup> McArthur

Hugh McArthur states, that he  
 employed his brother Douglas McArthur  
 to draw sand from a lot of land  
 located to Indian James Toy to  
 pay for brick sold to Toy for a  
 well on the reserve, from where the sand  
 was taken. The bricks were taken  
 on the land from where the sand  
 was taken, and that instead of  
 injuring the land, it was very naturally  
 benefited by the brick being used  
 on the land for well purposes.  
 It was also to give Toy tile for  
 draining purposes as well. The good  
 soil was left on the land they only  
 taking -