PROVINCE OF CANADA,

IN THE QUEEN'S BENCH.

APPEAL SIDE.

Ann Cecilia Maguire et al.,

APPELLANTS.

and

Havilland L. Routh,

RESPONDENT.



THE present Respondent, Plaintiff in the Court below, recovered judgment on the 13th September 1858, against Denis Magnire, junior, a ship chandler in Quebec, for the sum of £53 3s. Sd. with interest and costs. On the 27th February 1860, a writ of execution was issued to enforce this judgment and the stock in trade of a ship chandlers shop supposed to have been kept by the Defendant was seized. To this seizure an opposition ofin d'annuller was fyled by the present Appellant Ann Cecilia Magnire, the Defendants wife, he being also a purty to the opposition, in which it was alleged that she was séparée de biens from her liusband by a judgment of the Superior Court at Quebec rendered on the 5th February 1858, that she curried on business at Quebec under the name of "Maguire, Junior & Co." and that the effects which had been seized in the cause belonged to her. This Opposition was contested by the Plaintiff who pleaded the general issue and the following plea of Perpetual Exception.

And the said Plaintiff by this his perpetual Exception peremptoire en droit to the opposition afin d'annuller of the said Ani Cecilia Maguire and her said husband in this cause, not confessing or acknowledging any of the matters or things in the said Exception alleged to be true, saith that the said opposants cannot at any time maintain the conclusions of their said opposition because he saith that the said pretended separation as to property between the said opposants and the judgment ordering such separation is illegal, null and void and of no effect whatever against the said Plaintiff and the other creditors of the said Defendant, because the said Plaintiff doth allege and say that the said An facetila Magnire had not at the time of the said demand en séparation de biens or at the time of the rendering of the said judgement en séparation or at any time before any property or effects whatsoever which she had brought into the community existing between her and her husband, and her right to which was endangered in consequence of the insolvency of the said Denis Magnire, Junior, and that the said Ann Cecilia Magnire was not skilled in any trade or calling, and had not exercised or carried on any husiness by her own skill or industry her gains in which would be lost in consequence of the said insolvency of her husband. And that the said Ann Cecilia Magnire had in consequence on interest in demanding the said separation and the said Plaintiff further saith that the said separation has nover been registered or insinuée in conformity with the requirements of law in such case.

And the said Plaintiff further saith that the goods, chattels and moveable property seized in the present cause form part of the stock in trade of a certain ship-chandlers