

Then, from the "Business Review of the Bank of Montreal, September 26, 1962:

It is evident that the emergency program announced three months ago in support of the fixed exchange rate established at the beginning of May has been successful in restoring stability and confidence in the Canadian exchange market.

I will skip some others. Any honourable senator who would like to see some more may have them. I have a pile of them.

Then, from the editor of the *Financial Post*, October 13:

Mr. Diefenbaker's administration, despite its minority existence and unsure tenure, has given intimations of marshalling the very great resources of the federal government to create a better climate for business progress and individual well-being.

Then, from Leslie Wilson in the *Globe and Mail* of October 19—these are all current references; I am not going back to history at this time, though I shall in a moment:

These surcharges . . . have sparked a significant round of plans among secondary manufacturers to expand their operations. Even if the surcharges must be removed within a year or so, it is argued they will have created consumption patterns whereby some Canadian manufactures will have displaced imports.

Then, in the *Ottawa Journal* of October 10, 1962, Mr. Jack Pembroke, President of the Royal Trust Company, said:

The Canadian dollar has strengthened and there is little need for government intervention to maintain it at a fixed rate. . . . There is more money for investment than first-class mortgages available in Canada today. . . . There is evidence of overseas money now coming into the country. . . .

Hon. Mr. Macdonald (Brantford): What evidence is there?

Hon. Mr. Grosart: I am quoting Mr. Jack Pembroke, who says there is evidence of overseas money coming into the country.

Hon. Mr. Macdonald (Brantford): I am asking, what evidence is there?

Hon. Mr. Grosart: Could I reserve that for the next occasion when I have the honour of speaking here?

Hon. Mr. Macdonald (Brantford): I am sorry I interrupted the honourable senator.

Hon. Mr. Grosart: Then, even in the *Toronto Daily Star* of October 13 we read:

The Diefenbaker Government's austerity program has helped to restore the health of the Canadian dollar.

And even Bruce Hutchison, writing not, it is true, in the *Victoria Daily Times*, but in the *Christian Science Monitor* of October 5:

Despite a foreign exchange crisis and an official program of "austerity", Canada's troubled economy achieved rapid growth in the first nine months of 1962.

Now we come to the last statement by the Leader of the Opposition (Hon. Mr. Macdonald, Brantford) which I think I should refer to at this time. He said:

. . . there seems to be only one person who has any confidence in the administration—or, at least, did have any confidence in the administration—and that is the Prime Minister himself.

Now, of course, it would be unfair not to recognize that it was obviously a rhetorical statement, and not meant to be taken too seriously. However, I would like to use it as an occasion to bring to your attention some further comments that I have to make, and these will be my closing comments. I use this quotation to bring them within the rules of relevancy, because I am going to speak of the excesses of partisanship in other places and at other times. I am not speaking about anything that has happened in this honourable chamber, but I would like to mention the harm such excesses have done and are doing to Canada.

I think we have come to the time when deliberate, planned, attacks on Canada and on the Prime Minister of Canada must be branded as a disservice to Canada, whatever service they may be presumed to give to lesser interests. I am not referring to any isolated instances or occasional outbursts, or what at the time looked like clever devices but turned out to be merely vulgar. I am referring to planned tactics and ordered stratagems which fail to distinguish between the licence traditionally recorded in political controversy and what is regarded as the responsibility which should restrain any Canadian from deliberately downgrading Canada and attempting to debase the office of Prime Minister, or that of the Leader of the Opposition, or any of the high offices of state.

However, in the recent election and since, we have had a distinct lowering of the level of political controversy in this country. I thought we had come some of the way from the organized personal attacks and organized physical violence which took place in other