

Business of the House

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: So ordered.

Some hon. members: Hear, hear.

* * *

POINT OF ORDER

STATEMENT PURSUANT TO S. O. 31

Mr. Jim Karygiannis (Scarborough—Agincourt): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order to ask for clarification regarding the ruling on my statement under Standing Order 31.

I want to point out that on November 1, 1990, the Chair allowed the member for Abitibi to engage in an attack on the member for Burin—St. George's to take place in the House—

Mr. Speaker: Just a moment. I am not sure where the hon. member is going. If the hon. member is challenging the ruling of the Chair, that is not appropriate.

If the hon. member is having difficulty in—

Mr. Karygiannis: Clarification.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member is asking for clarification. I can give the hon. member clarification, and I shall.

On January 17, 1983, Madam Sauvé, then Speaker, touched on the question of what is appropriate comment in statements by members.

The hon. member who has risen was stopped by me earlier today after I listened for a few minutes, or at least some seconds, and it became clear, at least I thought it was clear, that the hon. member was making a personal attack on another hon. member.

As a consequence, I had to stand and interrupt him.

On January 17, 1983, Madam Sauvé said this: "The time set aside for members' statements should not be used to make personal attacks." She went on and said: "It is clear that personal attacks are not considered to be matters that should be raised."

That is the rule that we have tried to follow in this House. It may well be that that rule has been transgressed, and I might have missed it.

I assure the hon. member that I do listen carefully. If I erred in letting that rule be transgressed at some other

time, then I very much regret it. I think that we must bear with the rule and I think that that is the general feeling of the House.

• (1510)

I thank the hon. member for raising it. If he would like to discuss it further with me, of course he can see me in my chambers.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

WEEKLY STATEMENT

Mr. Jean-Robert Gauthier (Ottawa—Vanier): Mr. Speaker, this being Thursday, I would like to ask the government House leader if he could tell the House what business we are to deal with when we come back here on November 19, and possibly that week.

Hon. Harvie Andre (Minister of State and Leader of the Government in the House of Commons): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to an order made today, we will adjourn at the end of this day's business and the House will not be sitting tomorrow, sad as that makes me. I am going to have to find something else to do tomorrow. I do not know what. Next week is the scheduled parliamentary break.

We return on Monday, November 19, at which time I propose to call for a continuation of second reading, hopefully to completion, of Bill C-85, having to do with airports devolution; followed by Bill C-90 which has to do with the extension of the charters of the chartered banks of Canada.

On Tuesday, I would again carry on with Bill C-90 if it has not been completed on Monday; and commence second reading on Bill C-88, which is the establishment of Canada's participation in the European Bank for Reconstruction. Wednesday, again these are subject to changes, but the proposal would be Bill C-76, the Aeronautics Act, and Bill C-92, financial administration, both of which are technical in nature.

Mr. Gauthier: Mr. Speaker, I just thought that if it would make the minister feel better, as government House leader, if tomorrow he wants to come into the House and maybe answer some questions for a change, we would be more than pleased to ask him those questions.