

## QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. Paul Dick (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, Question No. 239 will be answered today.

[Text]

### STUDIES REGARDING CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT

Question No. 239—**Mr. Howie:**

From January 1, 1984 to date, were studies regarding child abuse and neglect done for the Department of National Health and Welfare by (a) departmental personnel (b) outside consultants and, if so, what are the names and publication dates of these studies?

**Hon. Jake Epp (Minister of National Health and Welfare):**

(a) No studies regarding child abuse and neglect have been initiated or conducted by departmental personnel from January 1, 1984 to date.

(b) From January 1, 1984, to date, no studies regarding child abuse and neglect have been initiated from the department by outside consultants, however, the two following studies have been completed:

(1) The Directory of Child Abuse Prevention Programs in Canada initiated in September, 1983, and financed by the National Clearinghouse on Family Violence, designed to help professionals and community groups become more aware of local and regional child abuse programs across the country. The Directory, with over 200 entries listed, was published in August, 1984.

(2) A three-year study funded by the Departments of Justice and National Health and Welfare on sexual offences against children and youth, (Committee chaired by Dr. Robin Badgley), was carried out from 1981 to 1984. The report of this study, entitled Sexual Offences Against Children, was published in August, 1984. A summary of this report was published by the Department of National Health and Welfare and was also released in August, 1984.

[English]

**Mr. Speaker:** The question as enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary has been answered.

**Mr. Dick:** I suggest that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

## Investment Canada Act GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

### INVESTMENT CANADA ACT

#### MEASURE TO ENACT

The House resumed consideration of Bill C-15, an Act respecting investment in Canada, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Regional Development; and Motions Nos. 1 and 2.

**Mr. Speaker:** Before recognizing the Hon. Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Keeper), I would like to take this opportunity to make a few minor corrections to the preliminary statement that I made this morning concerning motions that appear on the Order Paper. This is purely correcting errors of numbers.

In paragraph 33 of the statement circulated to Hon. Members, I referred to Motion No. 62 as having been grouped with Motion No. 8 and others. This, of course, should have read Motion No. 63. Motion No. 62 was grouped with Motion No. 33 and others in paragraph 22 of the statement. Paragraph 41 should have read as follows: "Motions Nos. 71, 72, 73, 74 and 75 were dealt with earlier by the Chair". Finally, paragraph 35 is missing simply because it was not included. There is no change in the text as a consequence.

I will now recognize the Hon. Member for Winnipeg North Centre in order to continue debate.

**Mr. Cyril Keeper (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, I welcome the opportunity to resume debate on the Foreign Investment Review Act. As I said before lunch-hour, what we are now dealing with is the statement of purpose for this legislation. I read the statement before lunch. It indicates that this legislation is meant to open the door to foreign investment without review. It takes away the watchman from the door as we allow foreign investment to come into Canada. We in this Party find that to be unacceptable. We want to amend this clause of the Bill to say that technology in Canada will be allowed into Canada under appropriate terms and conditions established by the Government, conditions which will benefit Canada.

It is the view of those in this Party that while it is useful to have foreign capital come into Canada, that capital must come here under our conditions. Capital must respect the values of the community. It is the community through its elected representatives that will determine the priorities that capital will serve. This morning I gave the example of Toro Industries which established a plant in Manitoba. As a condition of establishing that plant, it accepted that it would have an affirmative action program. In order to come into Manitoba, this industry accepted as a condition that it would have an affirmative action program.

This is what we mean when we say that we want capital to come into this country under appropriate conditions and for the benefit of Canada. I might elaborate. Affirmative action will mean that companies in the private sector will have