figures the type of idahoensis, but the ground colour is reproduced a little too red, and the costa not gray enough. His figure of furtivus is taken from a worn Colorado specimen, determined by Prof. Smith as such, and is almost certainly idahoensis. The intervening figure of faminalis is much more like a small specimen of my No. 270. It is taken, however, from a specimen in Prof. Smith's collection, where I saw it, besides others there and at Washington, and it appeared to be a species previously unknown to me.
271. E. nordica Smith. - The male type from Calgary is more uniformly gray than the majority of specimens. The female type is less gray. Both are at Washington. Some dark and strongly-marked specimens bear a distinct resemblance to divergens, and have the pale median vein of that species, though less contrasting. Divergens, however, usually differs in having the costal space more concolorous, but the subcostal vein pale as well as the median, the latter forming a pale V at its junction with vein 3. The s. t. line also is more direct in divergens, with less tendency to form a W. Nordica at Calgary sometimes has a very decided reddish tint throughout, and the resemblance then may be to my No. 270 , which formerly passed as furtivus.

Nordica occurs in Manitoba and Saskatchewan. It has been very common during some seasons near Calgary, and i s, I think, to be met with every year. I have taken it in some numbers on the Red Deer River, in the district now known as Dorothy. As I before pointed out, the record from "B. C." under the description is erroneous, and the error is copied in Dr. Dyar's and the B. C. lists. I have, however, seen a single specimen, supposed to be of B. C. origin, a male, dated July 11th, 1904, in Mr. A. H. Bush's collection at Vancouver. The specimen was in perfect condition when I saw it, though Mr. Bush was not sure that he had not taken it in a C. P. R. car. It is therefore possible that it may have been a traveller. It was erroneously labelled "furtivus." East of the Rockies, the form appears to intergrade with, and is not certainly distinct from tessellata and focinus (Nos. 263, 264, q. v.). Almost the only evidence I can secure in favour of its distinctness is the absence, with the one exception above mentioned, of furtivus from a very large number of tessellata and focinus which I have seen from Kaslo, Vancouver, and Vancouver Island. There can be no doubt, however, that a certain variation of a species does not always occur throughout its entire range. I may mention here that a considerable number of the focinus recorded by Dr. Dyar in

