

Held, that the order was a perfectly valid and good order, and that the re-service made thereunder was a proper and regular service. R. S. C. ch. 9, sec. 10.

The petition in this case simply stated that it was the petition of Angus Chisholm of the township of Lochiel, in the County of Glengarry, without describing his occupation, and it was shown by affidavit that there are two or three other persons of that name on the voters' list for that township.

Held, affirming the judgment of the Court below, that the petition should not be dismissed for the want of a more particular description of the petitioner.

Appeal dismissed with costs.

D. McCarthy, Q.C., for appellant.

S. Blake, Q.C., for respondent.

CONTROVERTED ELECTIONS FOR THE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS OF
 PRINCE COUNTY, P. E. I. (PERRY and YEO v. CAMERON);
 SHELBURNE, N. S. (WHITE v. GREENWOOD);
 ANNAPOLIS, N. S. (MILLS v. RAY);
 LUNENBURG, N. S. (KAULBACH v. BISENHAUER);
 ANTIGONISH, N. S. (THOMPSON v. MACGILLIVRAY);
 PICTOU, N. S. (TUPPER v. MCCOLL);
 INVERNESS, N. S. (MCDONALD v. CAMERON).

*Election Petitions—Preliminary objections—Service of petition—
 Security—R. S. C. ch. 9, sec. 10, and sec. 9 (e) and (g).*

In all these cases the appeals were from the decisions of the Courts below dismissing preliminary objections to the election petitions presented against the appellants.

The questions raised on these appeals were also 1st. Whether a personal service on the respondent at Ottawa without or with an order of the Court at Halifax or at his domicile is a good service. 2nd. Whether the payment of the security required by sec. 9 (e) into the hands of a person who was discharging the duties of and acting for the prothonotary at Halifax, and a receipt signed by said person in the prothonotary's name, sec. 9 (g) were valid. The Court, following the conclusion arrived at in the King's County (N.B.) & Queen's County (P. E. I.) Election cases,