

power to inform you that a majority of the subscribers to that Report have declared, that should it yet be proposed to forward it to you, their names should be expunged from the list.—And now, Sir, notwithstanding your knowledge of the law on a particular subject, so positively asserted, let me assure you, that if you have been bred to the profession of Law (which I much doubt), you must have had a Dolt's head, or your instructor has done you very little justice, and must have taken greater pains to make a Scribe of you, than a Lawyer.—In enquiring whether to withdraw the Report was admissible by the Law, you say, that you "know and assert that it *was not*." Now in reply to this, I know and assert it *was*; and thus, as Lawyers say, they are completely at issue, and depend upon it, my good Sir, that unless you proceed to trial, pursuant to your notice, I shall apply to that tribunal to which you are so much in the habit of appealing, for judgement as in case of nonsuit.

You appear to have been sorely galled by the "tinker eloquence" displayed in opposition to your measures, when you were at this place; now a period of nearly a month since, and it seems to have been still tinkling in your ears when you were writing your journal "to the worthy Inhabitants of Niagara." You, very feelingly, would commiserate the situation of Mr. "Byestander," who received a proper chastisement for his impertinent interference,* and you take an abrupt departure from a place where

"These words of learned length and thundering sound"

were so likely to have the effect of exposing the views of him, whose proceedings called them forth.—Yes, my good Sir, the "jaws" of your Lawyer did then quiver; they have since been quivering, to counteract your disgraceful measures, and they may yet quiver to your condemnation.

Sir, I am yours, &c.

D. HAGERMAN.

Bath, 20th June, 1818.

* The "Byestander," published an excellent reply to this false, foolish, and impertinent letter, which it is pity there is no room for here.

P. S. Since the above was published in the Spectator, the Montreal Herald, of 25th, and Kingston Gazette, of 28th July, have reached me. The Gazette, contains a letter from Duncan Fraser, Justice of the Peace, mentioned above, which the Editor of the Herald, seems, very properly, to have refused publishing, for, says he, "we have nothing to do with Village boxing, and club warfare, which never can be honorable to any community. They are a contempt and mockery of the law of the land." It might have been expected, that, Mr. Miles, after expressing his sorrow for publishing "vindictive calumny," would have been a little consistent. A more gross publication, than that of D. Fraser, need not be penned; but, luckily, "his tongue is no scandal," as the saying is; and, to use another saying "curses, like young chicken, roost at home."

R. G.