quired to pay \$2317.12 each year to complete the retirement of the original \$100,000 issue.

The following sets out what the ratepayers for the next 15 years would have to pay under each proposal:

Under Plan 1:

The Sinking Fund at Dec. 31, 1920, would be\$ In order to build this up to \$100,000 at Dec. 31,	6,008.14
1935, would require	93,991.86

\$1	00.	000	00.0
7-	,	,	,

The annual sir	nking fund	deposits	on the o	riginal	
issue was					477.67
The additional					3,878.13

Total annual deposit required from 1920 to 1935..\$ 4,355.

Under Plan 2.

The sinking fund deposit under the original issue	
was\$	477.67
The sinking fund deposit required to produce \$50,-	
000 at Dec. 31, 1935, is	2,317.12

Total annual deposit required\$ 2,794.79

The council should decide which of the two proposals is the more acceptable. The writer leans to the former and a clean sheet for posterity.