trivial incident of everyday life. The "Tommy" of the war correspondent is not a human being, but a lay figure with a gift for repartee, little more than the manikin that we thought him in those far-off days before the war, when we watched him drilling on the burrack-square. We soldiers know better. We know that each one of those men is an individual full of human affections, many of them writing tender letters home every week, each one longing with all his soul for the end of this hateful business of war which divides him from all that he loves best in life. We know that every one of these men has a healthy individual's repugnance to being maimed, and a human shrinking from hurt and from the Valley of the Shadow of Death.

The knowledge of all this does not do away with the even tread of the troops as they pass, the steady eye and mouth, the cheery jest; but it makes these a hundred times more significant. For we know that what these things signify is not a lack of human affection, or weakness, or want of imagination, but something superimposed on these, to which they are wholly subordinated. Over and above the individuality of each man, his personal desires and fears and hopes, there is the corporate personality of the soldier which knows no fear and only one ambition—to defeat the enemy, and so to further the righteous cause for which he is fighting. In each of these men there is that dual personality; the ordinary human ego that hates danger and shrinks from hurt and death, that longs for home, and would welcome the end of war on any terms; and also the stronger personality of the soldier who can tolerate but one end to this war, cost what that may—the victory of liberty and justice, and the utter abasement of brute force.

And when one looks back over the months of training that the soldier has had one recognizes how every feature of it, though at the time it often seems trivial and senseless and irritating, was in reality directed to this end. For from the moment a man becomes a soldier his dual personality begins. Henceforth he is both a man and a soldier. Before his training is complete the order must be reversed, and he must be a soldier and a man. In his conduct he no longer only has to consider his reputation as a man, but still more his honour as a soldier. In all the conditions of this life, his dress, appearance, food, drink, accommodation, and work, his individual preferences count for nothing, his efficiency as a soldier counts for everything. At first he " hates this", and " cannot see the point of that," But by the time his training is complete he has realized that whether he hates a thing or not, sees the point of a thing or not, is a matter of the uttermost unimportance. If he is wise, he keeps his likes and diskies to himself.

All through his training he is learning the unimportance of his individuality, realizing that in a national, a world criss, it counts for nothing. On the other hand, he is equally learning that as a unit in a fighting force his every action is of the utmost importance. The humility which the Army inculcates is not an abject self-depreciation that leads to loss of self-respect and effort. Substituted for the old individualism is a new self-consciousness. The man has become humble, but in proportion the soliar has become exceedingly proud. The old personal whims and ambitions give place to a corporate ambition and purpose, and this unity of will is symbolized in action by the simultaneous exactitude of drill, and in dress by the rigid identity of uniform. Anything which calls attention to the individuality should be wholly subordinated to the corporate personality of the regiment.

As I said before, the personal humility of the soldier has nothing in it of abject self depreication or slackness. On the contrary, every detail of his appearance and every most trivial feature of his duty assumes an immense significance. Slackness in his dress and negligence in his work are military erimes. In a good regiment the soldier is striving after perfection all the time.

And it is when he comes to the supreme test of battle that the fruits of his training appear. The good soldier has learnt the hardest lesson of all—the lesson of self-subordination to a higher and bigger personality. He has learnt to sacrifice everything which belongs to him individually to a cause that is far greater than any personal ambitions of his own can ever be. He has learnt to do this so thoroughly that he knows no fear—for fear is personal.

¹ It is a far cry from the old days when one talked of self-realization, is it not? I make no claim to be a good soldier, but I think perhaps that I may be beginning to be one, for if I am asked now whether I "loathe militarism in all its forms" I will think that "the answer is in the negative." I will go even further and say that I hope that some of the discipline and selfsubordination that have availed to send men calmly to their death in war will survive in the days of peace, and make of those who are left better citizens, better workmen, better servants of the State, better Churchmen.

D.-TEACHING AND MAINTENANCE OF DISCIPLINE.

The reasons for discipline in every walk of life are well known. From childhood all are, or should be, disciplined, and it is not believed that any rational person disputes the necessity for discipline, the only difference of opinion being the standard of discipline that it is necessary to produce in any particular profession, etc.

In the fighting services of a civilized country it has almost invariably been the practice to try and produce the highest standard of discipline. This is essential, as discipline instills obedience and *vice resa*, and it will be found that under the most trying conditions of discomfort, danger, etc., the human machine will not fail.