### Canada's Oldest Official Student Publication University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, N.B.

BUDGET SLASHED

# News

۵

New Grad Class Project chosen -p.3 SU withdraws support from CASA conference -p.4 Bus fares increased

-p.4 The \*New\* SU Budget

-p.7

Ed/Spect

Flak over Pillar and budget -p.8

Money, money, money -p.8

### Entertainment

Rankin Family traditions -p.11

Third Annual Year-End Genrecide review -p.12,13

All You Get is k.d. lang and

some ads -p.15

Sports

by Joe FitzPatrick **Co-News Editor** 

Volume 129 • Issue 13

The Student Union has "fixed" it's budget problems in an unprecendented move which saw a cut of 10% levied at the last meeting of Council before the Holidays, December 5, 1995.

Duncan Fulton, Acting Chair of the Finance Committee, confirmed earlier fears that the Student Union faced a large budget deficit, and added to the evidence that the 1995-96 budget is full of errors.

"There was a \$62,000 deficit projected with the budget we had. This immediately went down to \$40,000 when we checked the additions. When we checked the numbers in line items, it went down to \$32,000." Fulton told Council.

#### "There are tens of thousands unbudgetted."

In one of the four-hour meeting's few moments of levity, he joked. "in one day, we cut the deficit by \$30,000."

While the budget now calls for accepted readily, however, and debate, \$24,000 surplus, Fulton warned at times, was heated. Council not to take comfort in this.

Fulton told the Council "there are tens of thousands unbudgetted." For amounts. Debate centered around example, the Cellar pub, according to the General Ledger, was advanced \$22,000. However, no record of authorization for this transaction could be found in Council minutes of this year

sponsorships which are not accounted amount was altered from a 55% cut to a for in the budget.

consultation, according to Fulton. But the committee went over each budgeted item and evaluated them individually.

While the cuts were deep overall, they were not evenly applied. In fact, it was apparent that cuts were being made to cover overages incurred, yet, instead of avoiding additional overages, they appeared to be setting money aside to cover overages later in the year.

Campus entertainment, is an example of this. This item was spared any cuts, yet it has a budget of \$18,000 for four events in second term.


Fulton told Council "we usually loose money on the shows" and recommended not reducing the budget for this item.

Meanwhile, Clubs and Societies were cut by 13% in total (see page 7 for detailed list of Clubs and Societies cuts.) Yet, the Student Union Operating Budget was increased by 11% to cover overages in insurance, office expenses, student relations, accounting and auditing fees, staff salaries, and summer wages.


The budget proposal was not

A total of fourteen motions were debated concerning the budgetary those areas which had an advocate on the Council

For example, Trish Davidson, VP Student Services, attempted to exempt three areas of her portfolio: the Activity Awards, the Leadership Banquet, and In addition, there are several large the Terry Fox Run. The Terry Fox Run



January 12 1996 • Second Class Mail #8120



% over

Basketball at the Golder **Ball Classic** 

-p.19

Hockey Reds take two from national champions -p.21

## Classifieds

-p.22



Five things the Student Union could do with its "surplus:"

1. Red pens - they'll need them soon.

2. One-way tickets out of here.

3. A bi-election to replace all those appointed executives.

4. Don't spend it for a change.

5. If all else fails, raise staff salaries.

Following a question from Councilor Shona Bertrand, earlier in the term, the terms of the sponsorships were disclosed only during closed sessions of Council.

The Student Union has received sponsorships from NB-Tel, Labatt's, the Bank of Montreal, Morneau & Associates [SU Health Plan broker], Frank Morrison& Son[the Union's insurance broker], Coca-Cola Bottling, and several smaller sponsorships.

The budget cuts were made without the previous week.

10% one, the other motions failed.

Joie Hellmeister attempted to lessen the cut on AIESEC. Hellmeister is a member of the AIESEC executive. The motion was defeated.

The Engineering representatives attempted to argue that their societies should be cut less, disputing the numbers brought to the Council. While Fulton claimed that little had been spent, Councilors Micheal Blanchard and Bethany Bourque argued that they had spent 90% of their budget within

Orientation SU Operating Costs \$20,796.25 11%

#### Where the cuts were made:

## **Pillar editor removed**

#### by Cynthia Kirkby **Co-News Editor**

Following the printing of a sexist article in The Pillar, then Editor-In-Chief Mike Blanchard was unanimously voted out of office by the rest of The Pillar's editorial board.

The article, entitled "Woman - A Chemical Analysis" was coincidentally published on December 6, the sixth anniversary of the Montreal Massacre. The article, with the header "thsi [sic] is not an editorial" was printed on page 2, where the editorial normally appears.

Andrew Gorlick, formerly the

Publications Editor, is now serving as interim Editor-In-Chief. He Gorlick attributed to the article and to commented that the article was "inappropriate, and doubly so because it ran on December 6." He added that the general response was that "people were offended."

"A retraction was printed immediately after the issue was released, and the reaction to that was favourable," he continued, "They were glad that we acted quickly and apologized for our mistake.

"Since then we've taken steps to implement changes to the paper to ensure that'll never happen again." One of these changes was Blanchard's impeachment, which unspecified "internal matters." Blanchard, who is also an Engineering representative on the Student Union Council, called the

impeachment process "unfair," adding that he did not have an opportunity to defend himself. "Dan [Clowater, Managing Editor]

and Andrew asked me to resign. I said I was prepared to, but not until I received a letter from the four editors... wanting me to resign. I decided over the next week that I did not want to resign. I called a meeting [for December 20] because I wanted

it over with before January. Two editors were leaving that day, and Dan and Andrew both had exams at seven."

The meeting did not go well, in his opinion. "I never had a chance to say anything," said Blanchard. "One editor would make a point, I'd try to address it and another guy would jump on me. Then they said 'Well, we have an exam, are we going to vote or what?"

Blanchard added that he was puzzled by the lack of feedback he received over the article.

**Continued on Page 3**