

son who was excluded from here for meddling with the private histories of the inmates. I might say more of this, but forbear, unless obliged to do so in further self-defence. The proper printed use of the word "shows" was perverted by the enemies of the Home into "cat-shows," and this is the way everything is incorrectly stated. And my giving information at the Consul's office about the American girl when asked for it, while there on my own business, was perverted to going there to do her harm, two different things.

The charge in the next case I regard as more serious than any previous one; and it is for the sake of those poor girls who have been under my care, in the past, at the present, or may be in future, that I relate in greater length what I deeply regret being compelled to do. The accusation has been publicly made, so also must be the denial.

First, I most emphatically deny ever having written to the professor of music, or to the wife of the clergyman, regarding the person who is next brought forward. I first met her in the Lock-ward of the General Hospital, dressing to return to the house of ill-fame where she had lived. She was well-known as a prostitute in the Police Courts and streets of this city. I asked her if she wished to change her wicked life, and told her of our open door and the welcome to such as she. She did not seem to care for what I said, so I asked her to come and stay, at least one night in the Home. She did so, stayed two days, then left for her old haunts. She came back after a few weeks and remained two months. While here her greatest boast to the others was the amount of money she could gain by sin, and how she thought her time lost while staying here. When she wished to leave I spoke earnestly to her and wrote to her mother to come and take her home, *which was done*. But soon after, she threatened if money was not given her to return to Montreal, that she would open an infamous house in a neighboring street, so the poor mother was obliged to let her go. She came to this city, and to the house of ill-fame, where she met the person who took her out and married her. I see no reformation in this. The professor of music received his knowledge from persons quite unknown to me. He called at the Home one Sunday afternoon: I told him I would give him no information, as it was not my custom. He said such a pupil as she was supposed to be was injurious to his musical connection. Mr. Taylor coming in at the time to conduct the Sunday afternoon Bible class, was appealed to, and also said there could be no admissions or denials about her. But no doubt the fact that denial of her having been here could not be made, gave him the confirmation he wanted of what he had heard before he came.

So also with the statement that I informed the wife of the clergyman of the parish. She too had received her knowledge from quite another source, and came here to enquire as to the truth of it, so that her dealings with that person might be to the well-being of all under her care. If, through God's blessing and the kind ministrations of that estimable lady, the person has since really reformed, still more, become a Christian, I truly rejoice, as the reformation of the fallen is the object of my mission; for