

**Pulleys.**  
**WOOD SPLIT CO.**  
**ROCHBALD,**  
**STEVENS,**  
**WATERLOO,**  
**ONTARIO.**  
**WOOD SPLIT CO.**  
**ROCHBALD,**  
**STEVENS,**  
**WATERLOO,**  
**ONTARIO.**  
**WOOD SPLIT CO.**  
**ROCHBALD,**  
**STEVENS,**  
**WATERLOO,**  
**ONTARIO.**

**THE DISMISSAL OF OFFICIALS**

Was Again a Bone of Contention in the House.

**FOSTER, TARTE AND LISTER**

Had a Bit of a Set-To, and Mr. Speaker Had Occasion to Interfere.

The case of Mr. McCallum, Lockmaster of the Levee River, developed some points of interest to the Minister of Public Works. The case of Mr. Balderson of the Railway Department was thrashed out in the evening, and it appears to have been marshallly dealt with—some bills introduced and others advanced a stage—the Minister.

Ottawa, May 7.—(Special.)—After routine today, Mr. Bain moved the adoption of the second report of the Agricultural Committee, which calls for the printing of 40,000 copies of Prof. Hodgson's evidence on cold storage. The Premier's motion to take Wednesday and Thursday for Government business was adopted, with the modification that public bills be taken up Wednesday after 6 o'clock.

**First Readings.**

The following bills were introduced on a first time:

Major Hughes—Respecting the Lindsay, Hamilton and Mattawa Railway Company.

Mr. Wood—Respecting the West Shore and Victoria Railway Company.

Mr. Foster—Respecting the Montreal and Pacific Junction Railway Company.

On motion to go into Committee of Supply, Mr. Foster asked for information concerning the dismissal of A. McCallum, Lockmaster of the Levee River.

Mr. Tarte said that McCallum's dismissal was the subject of a letter from Mr. E. Bourassa, M.P. This letter was to the effect that McCallum, instead of consulting one Angus McMillan as to whom he should employ on the works continued to employ Conservators, Mr. Bourassa further asked the dismissal of McCallum's assistant, Simon Raymond. There was a charge of active participation in the last election against McCallum. His principle was to dismiss unfaithful employees without mercy.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Tarte then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

**MEETINGS OF THE ANTS.**

Campaign of the Opponents of Sunday Cars.

**GATHERINGS LAST NIGHT**

In Association Hall and at Brockton Town Hall.

Speakers from Hamilton, Niagara Falls and Kingston Deprecate the Running of Cars on Sunday—Fair Statement of All Their Arguments—Mr. S. H. Blake Again Travels Over the Old Ground, and Says He Will Pay for a Meeting in Massey Hall if the Ants Win.

Last night's anti-Sunday car meeting in Association Hall must have been somewhat of a disappointment to the promoters, as at no time were the seats all occupied. A large percentage of those present were ladies.

Mr. J. K. Macdonald occupied the chair, and the platform with him were G. M. Macdonald, Kingston; Rev. John Young, Hamilton; Rev. John Crawford, Niagara; Rev. V. H. Emory, Rev. W. J. Barkwell, Messrs. J. J. Duncan, Henry O'Brien, A. E. O'Meara, John A. Patterson, Hon. S. H. Blake, Ald. Spence and Mayor Fleming.

Mr. Macdonald's speech was a fair statement of all their arguments. He said that the promoters of Sunday cars were not going to be betrayed without finding out the guilty parties. We are not going to be betrayed without finding out the guilty parties. We are not going to be betrayed without finding out the guilty parties.

Mr. Foster observed that Mr. Tarte in all his general skirmish had missed the real point, namely, that after McCallum had been instructed by the department to carry out the work himself, he had been punished by dismissal for obeying orders.

Mr. Tarte replied that what Mr. McCallum had done was to ask Mr. McMillan to stay on the works all the time. In other words, he made it impossible for Mr. McMillan to be useful to the department. Mr. Tarte added that McCallum would probably learn that he who laughs last laughs best.

Mr. Davin wanted to know what the Government was doing that it was so afraid of being betrayed. Mr. McCallum asked if any opportunity had been afforded Mr. McCallum of presenting his defence. Mr. Tarte replied that he had not thought it necessary to put the country to the expense of an investigation.

This ended the incident.

**On the Estimates.**

The House then went into Committee of Supply on the estimates.

On the vote for the Inland Revenue Department, Mr. Foster expatiated with the Controller about increasing the status and salary of a temporary clerk with \$200 a year as compared to the position of a second-class clerk with a salary of \$1100, while other clerks were denied even the \$300 increase, no matter how deserving they might be.

Mr. Hurler's motion was to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Cameron and Mr. McCallum complained of the inland Revenue Department being the most important of the Government's departments. Mr. McCallum declared that he was altogether too much of an employer.

Mr. Bennett remarked that Mr. McCallum, when asked to support a candidate for the position of controller, had written to Mr. Tarte that he was unable to do so, as he had promised the job to a man from his own riding. (Laughter.)

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

Mr. Bennett then asked to give the House the other side of the case. He introduced a letter dated Oct. 24, from the Hon. Charles Coste of the department, written to E. D. LaFleur, resident engineer of the Levee River, in which he stated that McCallum was to be employed and from whom material was to be obtained for the work.

**Send for The Program.**

Excursion TO THE NEW GOLD TOWN

**WABIGOOON**

Our Spring Program for Wabigooon will be issued in a few days. It will describe and illustrate some of the leading improvements projected for the Lakeside property along the C.P.R. and Wabigooon Lake at Wabigooon, and among the features to be announced in this program will be a Free Round Trip Excursion from Toronto to Wabigooon and return in June, to be given to one hundred lot buyers. Intending investors should write for this program now. It will be mailed free to all on the day of its issue.

ADDRESS ROBERT S. KING, TREASURER, THE WABIGOOON LAND AGENCY, LTD., 17 JORDAN-ST., TORONTO.

**THE MONSTER SHOE HOUSE**

TWO STORES: 210 Yonge Street 510 Queen Street W.

MAY 8th, 1897.

Two stocks must be cleared out next week—G. Bresse & Co.'s and 3000 pairs of McPherson's Shoes.

Judge the values from these few prices—come and examine the shoes—that's all we ask.

**Monday Specials**

WOMEN'S.

Ladies' Kid Oxford Shoes, American make, patent toe cap and facings, fine flexible sole, new shape, sizes 2 1/2 to 7, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

Ladies' Dongola Kid Walking Shoes, patent tip and facings, extension soles, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

600 pairs Ladies' Pine Dongola Kid Button Boots, patent tip, regular price \$2.50, Monday special, \$1.90.

**THE QUEEN-ST. STORE.**

West Enders—we return you thanks for yesterday's trade—it far exceeded our expectations. So it is each succeeding day—trade steadily increases—promises soon to lap the Yonge-street receipts. We'll continue to merit your patronage and hold the confidence you have in our business dealings by selling you shoes at prices never before seen on Queen-street.

**MONDAY:**

600 Pairs Ladies' House Slippers..... 50c a pair.

**W. J. GUINANE,**

Two Stores - - (210 Yonge Street 510 Queen Street West

what a warm embrace they want to give the bicycle ladies! They've been putting them on the tracks all along, and now they will not only give them the devil's strip, but the whole track—until the night of May 13. The modern requirements demand rest, and the observance of Sunday was pronounced when there was no mad race for wealth.

A Massey Hall Celebration.

"The Philistines are upon us," so let us be as one in this battle and bury it so deep that it will never be heard of again. Let us have a grand victory on a week from Saturday and I'll pay for Massey Hall that night.

"We will be false to ourselves, false to our country, false to our Dominion and false to our God, if we do not hand that day down as He gave it to us," concluded the speaker.

His Worship Has Not Changed.

Mayor R. J. Fleming was the last speaker, but he only wanted to drop a remark that he was favorable to Sunday cars. Who ever started that story in either a fool, or is he a man who has no sense? There is no reason for starting such a report, as he had declared six years ago. There is no reason for starting such a report, as he had declared six years ago. There is no reason for starting such a report, as he had declared six years ago.

At Brockton Hall.

The anti-Sunday car meeting held last night at Brockton Hall, Brockton, was rather well attended, although there was plenty of time for the anti-Sunday car meeting. The anti-Sunday car meeting was in the chair, and after calling the meeting.

**JUST**

Scarce Goods—RECEIVED

CABLE REPEAT OF THOSE LOVELY Black and SILK Wool Grenadines

WE WERE SHOWING THREE WEEKS AGO.

The quantity is limited—and, as many of our patrons have been eagerly enquiring for them, we take this opportunity to announce their arrival, giving all an equal chance to purchase—price same as before—