all. plex the subject, the Right Hon. Gentleman ind mentioned the Kirk of Scotland; the Kirk of the Scotland did not complain, and therefore there ofe. was no ground of objection there. Besides, the the Hon. Gentleman had said, that persons nit did come from Scotland, and took civil and but military offices upon themselves; that being rathe case, the Right Hon. Gentleman's arguifment in that respect failed him, because he to could not have the benefit of the argument he both ways. He agreed with Lord North in ny feveral parts of his argument, particularly that be the law had existed for above a century, and out that it had ever been looked upon as one of ifthe props and bulwarks of the Constitution. d a He denied that it tended to exclude some sets :ed of Protestant Dissenters while it excluded others. ed After a good deal more reasoning, he declared ftn the repeal of the Acts in question would open nt the door again to all the abuse and danger ne it had been defigned to guard against. He Çspoke of the quiet and regularity that obtained eat present in relation to religious differences, ı is a and faid, if there were any thing, that could th interrupt the harmony and moderation between fects, once contending with great viru-16 lence and asperity, it was, that of awakening a ra competition, and rekindling the sparks of X ancient