government, what could be accounted fuch only in a republic.

It would be loft labour to fearch for conftitutional liberty in th. pam-I have alluded. phlets to which Men whofe employments had given them fufficient opportunity of knowing what it is, have from party views given strange representations of it. The late Lord Bolingbroke, in the ninth letter of his differtation on parties, one defign of which he tells us, was to give true ideas of the Britifs conftitution, speaks thus, " fince the revolution, a king of " Britain is firictly and properly what " kings should always be, a member, " but the fupreme member, or the " head of a political body. Part of one " individual fpecifick whole, in eve-" ry respect; distinct from it, or in-" dependent of it in none. He can no longer