

Sir GEORGE PERLEY: Will my hon. friend allow this amendment which may cover the point that he is speaking about. I move:

That resolution No. 5 of September 16, 1930, be amended by striking out the second paragraph thereof and substituting the following therefor:

Provided, that any such enactment shall not apply to goods bona fide purchased and imported, but not entered, on or before the sixteenth day of September, one thousand nine hundred and thirty, nor to goods imported and in warehouse on or before that date, provided such goods are taken out of warehouse on or before the thirteenth day of November, one thousand nine hundred and thirty, nor to goods imported, under regulations prescribed by the minister, on or before the thirtieth day of November, one thousand nine hundred and thirty, when such goods have been bona fide contracted for and purchased in the country of export prior to the aforesaid sixteenth day of September, one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

I think that covers what my hon. friend has in mind.

Mr. ELLIOTT: Just what is the effect of my hon. friend's amendment to this proviso?

Sir GEORGE PERLEY: The effect of the amendment is that goods bona fide purchased before this enactment can be imported at any time up to the 30th of November.

Amendment agreed to.

Section as amended agreed to.

Resolutions reported, read the second time and concurred in. Mr. Bennett thereupon moved for leave to introduce Bill No. 4, to amend the customs tariff.

Motion agreed to and bill read the first time.

Mr. BENNETT moved the second reading of the bill.

Motion agreed to and bill read the second time.

Mr. BENNETT moved that the Speaker do now leave the chair for the house to resolve itself into committee of the whole on said bill.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. BROWN: Mr. Speaker before you leave the chair may I refer to a question of privilege?

Some hon. MEMBERS: Order.

Mr. SPEAKER: May I remind the hon. member for Lisgar (Mr. Brown) that the resolution is carried that the Speaker do now leave the chair. There will be an oppor-

tunity a little later on, while the Speaker is in the chair, for the hon. member to make any observations he may desire.

The house went into committee, Mr. LaVergne in the chair.

Sections 1 and 2 agreed to.

On section 3—Schedule A amended.

Mr. RALSTON: This section is the one in connection with which I want to move an amendment. I move that there be added at the end of item 271, as amended by this subsection, the words following:

Excepting gasoline to be used by individual fishermen for their own use in the fisheries in boats bona fide used by them.

In order that there may be no difficulty about this matter of rebate, and so that my hon. friend may not feel that any premium is being paid, this amendment simply provides that the provisions imposing duty do not apply in the case of gasoline used by the fishermen.

Mr. NEILL: I have just a few words to say; there is no use rehashing the situation. The objection to this amendment, which I strongly support, is founded, of course, upon the theory that has been advanced so often the last few days, that an increase in the tariff does not increase the price of the commodity. I read in this morning's paper of a squirrel which had cached seventy-five golf balls under the impression that they were some new kind of nut. If hon. gentlemen opposite really believe the theory I have mentioned I would advise them to keep away from the vicinity of squirrels.

Mr. VALLANCE: Might I ask if this will be extended also to the gasoline used by farmers in their tractors?

Mr. GARDINER: The objection we raise to this amendment is that this is class legislation. It is proposed to exempt from duty gasoline used by fishermen. If the amendment had been inclusive to the extent that those who were using gasoline for commercial purposes, including farmers and others, might be exempted, we could have supported the amendment, but in view of the fact that it applies to only one class we must oppose it.

Mr. RALSTON: I have made it apply to one particular class because of the fact, as I pointed out the other night, that the tariff items themselves are consistent with this proposal. Item 440k expressly exempts engines used by fishermen, in practically the same terms as this amendment with regard to gasoline. I also pointed out a drawback item