

time; in fact, everything was done by the Committee to oblige Senator Haydon in permitting him to make the fullest statements and explanation which he or his Counsel saw fit to make to the Committee. In a carefully prepared and typewritten series of questions and answers his evidence was opened and in these answers he complained of the hateful publicity of last year and the very great wrong which he said had been done him (B190). He was examined by Counsel for the Committee, and his whole attitude was anything but frank. His answers, on the few occasions that he did make his answers responsive to the questions asked him, were delivered in a very resentful manner, with much heat and with a disrespect amounting at times to abuse of Counsel.

The above two incidents are brought to the attention of the Committee in order that they may be of some assistance in forming a judgment as between Senator Haydon and those witnesses who he has attempted to contradict.

#### SENATOR DONAT RAYMOND

The report of the Commons Committee in respect to Senator Raymond is found on Roman numeral page A26, and so far as it purports to deal with facts, is not in dispute by anyone.

Senator Raymond in answer to the findings of the Commons Committee; namely,

(a) That he should have been more frank with the Committee and disclosed the \$200,000 campaign contribution from Swezey;

(b) That it was hardly conceivable that Swezey should pay this large sum of money over to Raymond unless he was satisfied that the Senator's influence had been or would be worth the money;

(c) That it was remarkable that Senator Raymond did not insist upon making some explanation of his position in this regard in view of the evidence.

He replies to this before the Senate Committee by reading under oath, a written statement wherein he explained that at the time he gave his evidence before the Commons Committee there had been no mention of campaign funds and he was not asked anything about campaign funds; that if he had been so asked he would have stated that during the electoral campaign of 1930 he was acting as trustee for the funds of the Liberal Party and in that quality only had received from Mr. Swezey, of his own motion, the sum which Swezey subsequently mentioned in his statement to the Commons Committee; that in due course he turned all of this money over to the treasurer of this party; that he subsequently received a telegram from the Committee asking his presence in Ottawa; that he was informed by Mr. Mackenzie, who said he had conferred with the Chairman, the Honourable Mr. Gordon, that Senator Raymond would not further be required; that he again informed Mr. Mackenzie that he would be subject to the call of the Committee at any time and could be in Ottawa at three hours' notice (Pages B33-34-35).

It is not deemed necessary here to set out the early history of Senator Raymond's connection with the Beauharnois project, as that is very clearly done at Roman numeral page A26 hereinbefore referred to.

"(1) Senator Raymond was appointed to the Senate on the 20th December, 1926. He, voluntarily, after the permission of the Senate had been granted, appeared before the Committee on the afternoon of the 16th July, 1931, and stated that he had subscribed on the 1st April, 1927, at the suggestion of Honourable Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Frank P. Jones, for 800 units of the Beauharnois Syndicate at a price of \$30,000, which he paid. These became 1,600 units in the second syndicate and as was his right, he subscribed for 1,600 further units, in the name of J. R. Lefebvre, and made his holdings 3,200 units. On the whole