- of Principals: Stitt v. Arts and Crafts Limited, 730.
- 3. Mining Syndicate—Liability of Members for Debt Incurred after Applications for Membership, but before Effective Acceptance—— Costs: Mc-Kim v. Bixel, 726.
- See Bankruptcy and Insolvency, 2—Company, 11—Guaranty—Judgment, 2—Parties, 1—Principal and Agent, 3—Writ of Summons, 1.

PAYMENT.

See Contract, 5—Master and Servant, 7
—Mortgage, 4—Promissory Notes, 1
—Solicitor, 3, 5.

PAYMENT INTO COURT.

See Cemetery—Costs, 8, 10—Infant, 2 —Injunction—Insurance, 8, 14—Interpleader, 2—Railway, 7.

PAYMENT OUT OF COURT.

See Infant, 2—Insurance, 14 — Railway, 7.

PENALTY.

See Discovery, 2.

PETITION.

See Parliamentary Elections.

PETROLEUM BOUNTY ACT.

See Appeal to Court of Appeal, 1.

PHARMACIST.

Sale of Poison—Prescription for Horse—Addition of Poison to Prescription—Pharmacy Act, R. S. O. 1897 ch. 179, sec. 26—Amending Act, 6 Edw. VII. ch. 25—Incorporated Company of Pharmacists—Shop not Managed by Director Qualified as Pharmacist—Damages for Loss of Horse: McGibbon v. J. P. Lawrason Co., 1168.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION.

See Discovery, 7.

PLANS.

See Mines and Minerals, 4, 5—Principal and Agent, 4—Public Schools—Vendor and Purchaser, 1.

PLEADING.

1. Counterclaim—Defendants to Counterclaim—Receivers and Managers un-

- der Order of Court Proceeding against, without Leave of Court—Motion to Strike out Counterclaim—Appeal: Sovereign Bank v. Parsons, 314.
- Statement of Claim—Action Transferred from Division Court—Plaintiff not Confined to Claims within Jurisdiction of Division Court: Gage v. Nash, 461.
- 3. Statement of Claim Extension of Time for Delivery—Time Limit for Bringing Action—Application to Delivery of Statement of Claim—Con. Rules 243, 353—Costs: McDonald v. London Guarantee and Accident Co., 403.
- 4. Statement of Claim—Motion to Strike out—Rule 261—Reasonable Cause of Action Action not Frivolous or Vexatious—Dismissal of Action or Stay of Proceedings—Municipal Corporation—Contract with Hydro-Electric Power Commission—Action to Declare Invalid—Statutes Orders in Council—Parties—Fiat of Attorney-General—Fraud and Misrepresentation Amendment Ultra Vires—Discretion Appeal—Order in Chambers—Rule 1278: Beardmore v. City of Toronto, Smith v. City of London, 198, 207, 519,
- 5. Statement of Claim—Motion to Strike out as Embarrassing — Enticing Plaintiff's husband to Leave her— Cause of Action: Weston v. Perry, 246.
- 6. Statement of Defence Action for Slander—Amendment of Statement of Claim—Limiting Complaint to a Part of the Words Spoken by Defendant—Innuendo: Foster v. Macdonald, 671.
- 7. Statement of Defence—Embarrassment or Irrelevancy—Action for Trespass and False Imprisonment Defence Setting out Facts and Pleading "Not Guilty by Statute"—Conviction No Allegation of Ouashing: Titchmarsh v. Graham, Titchmarsh v. McConnell. 618, 683.
- 8. Statement of Defence Motion to Strike out — Action by Judgment Creditors to Set aside Conveyances of Land — Defence that Judgment Satisfied—Qui tam Action—Amendment: Ontario Asphalt Co. v. Cook, 283.
- See Discovery, 2, 5—Costs, 5—Judgment, 1—Jury Notice, 2—Libel—