DIARY-CONTENTS-EDITORIAL ITEMS.

DIARY FOR FEBRUARY.

1.	Thur. Last day for collector to return 10ll. Attorneys Examinations.
2.	Frid. Examination for call to the Bar.
3.	Set Examination for Call to the Bar.
	Sat Examination for call with honors.
4.	SUN Sexagesima.
5.	Mon. Hilary Term begins. Law Society Convocation meets.
6.	TuesLaw Society Convocation meets.
10.	SatLaw Society Convocation meets.
11.	SUN Quinquagesima.
13,	TuesLast day to move against Municipal election
15.	Thur Reheaving to the con-
	Thur. Rehearing term in Chancery. Last day for
16. 1	Assessors to begin to make rolls.
17	Frid. Law Society Convocation meets.
	SatHilary term ends.
18.	8UN Quadragesima.
24.	SatSt. Matthias.
25.	SUN2nd Sunday in Lent.
27.	Disraeli's Ministry formed, 1868.

CONTENTS.

·	
EDITORIALS:	PAGE
Abell v. Church reversed in Appeal Unprofessional Circular—Explanation Judicial Extravagancies. Alterations at Osgrode Hall Appeals upon Evidence Third Report of the Commissioners for Consolida ing the Statutes	. 29 . 29 . 29 . 30
SELECTIONS:	
Lord Redesdale	· 36
CANADA REPORTS:	
ONTARIO:	
COMMON LAW CHAMBERS.	
The Manufacturers and Merchants Fire insurance Co. v. Attwood,	
A. J. Act, 1873, sec. 24—Examination—"A	t
Issue Le Mesurier v. Tierney.	
Hab, fac, poss, Lands detached from on	e
Ray v. Briggs.	. 40
Application to sell land under A. J. Act 1873, secs. 35, 36, 37—Issue directed	, . 40
Purser v. Bradburn. Costs—Certificate—Title	
Begina v. Clanev.	
Vagrant Act—32, 33 Vict. cap. 28—Justic of the Peace sitting for Police Magistrate	e 41
GEN. SESS. OF THE PRACE FOR THE CO. OF KLGIN.	
Regina v. Bradshaw—In the matter of Appea between Henry Bradshaw, Appellant, an Richard B. Nicholl, Respondent. Summary Conviction for destroying a feno under 32 & 32 Vict. can 99 December 20	d e
Malice	. 41
In Re Frederick Dangerfield, Insolvent, Matild Dangerfield, Claimant, and Meikle et al Inspectors, Contestants.	a L
Wife of Insolvent proving claim	40
MUNICIPAL ELECTION CASE.	. 44
In the matter of the election for the office o Reeve for the Township of Edwardsburg for the year 1877	
DIGEST OF ENGLISH LAW REPORTS	4
for Aug., Sept. and Oct., 1876	44
SPRING ASSIZES	
PLUIBAM AND JETRAM	
LAW SOCIETY—MICHAELMAS TERM	55

THE

Canada Paw Journal.

Toronto, February, 1877.

It will be well to note that Abell v. Church, 26 C. P. 338, has been reversed by a majority of the Supreme Court at Ottawa (Strong, J., dissenting). This restores the original decision of the Court of Common Pleas.

The firm of solicitors alluded to in our last issue (p. 2) writes us, saying, "We were as much surprised as you could have been, and more disgusted, at seeing that our names had been appended to the advertisement referred to in your January number." We were satisfied that so respectable a firm could not have consented to such an improper use of their names, and have much pleasure in publishing their statement that the circular was issued without their authority, and that they have taken means to repress it.

JUDGE Willard, of the Supreme Court of South Carolina, is in a fair way to acquire name and fame by the boldness of his judicial deliverances. He seems togo deep into the roots of things, and proposes to revive the primitive conception of law as expounded, for instance, by Sir-Henry Maine. This author informs us that when a judgment was pronounced, in the early ages, by a king, the supreme law-giver, it was assumed to be the result of a direct divine inspiration. The Carolina judge in ordering a mandamus to issue against one of the canvassers, made use of the following language in commenting on the power of the court: "It is clothed with majesty. We do not speak the voice of men; we speak in judgment, and judgment is the voice of God." How awkward it would be if this divine occupant of the bench happened to be reversed in appeal!