

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Hon. Mr. Pooley and Dr. Walkem  
Critique the Course of the  
Former Speaker.

Mr. Rithet Deals with Many Important  
Issues in Continuing the  
Budget Debate.

Friday, April 1.  
The debate on the budget assumed a livelier aspect to-day than any previous day, the replies of the President of the Council and Dr. Walkem to Mr. Higgins in the afternoon being decidedly emphatic and in the evening the Attorney-General made an eloquent defence of the government.

The house was opened with prayer by Mr. O. E. Kendall.

## THE BUDGET DEBATE.

Mr. Higgins continued the debate on the estimates, referring to the list of amounts asked for their districts by the opposition and read on Wednesday by Hon. Col. Baker. So far as he was concerned he had sent in his requisition for \$27,000 in response to the circular sent to him from the department of Lands and Works. He questioned the propriety of the Provincial Secretary reading it.

Hon. Col. Baker pointed out that he had not given out the details till he had been asked by opposition to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker—"And water works bills as well."

Mr. Higgins agreed with this and then quickly passed on to the estimates. He said that the estimates of the year were too large. As to education Mr. Higgins said common justice compelled him to say that Hon. Col. Baker had conducted that department without any scandal being attached. Mr. Higgins said that a form of prayer had been used by Hon. Col. Baker in the assembly for use in the schools and that proved he was not at heart in favor of the secular school system followed in this province.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

The Premier in reference to Mr. Higgins' remarks on the estimates, said the supplementary estimates being expended before the money was voted said that that gentleman had fallen into a grievous error by not being very particular of accounts. If Mr. Higgins had found anything wrong with the \$900,000 estimates in 1897 it was his duty to have said so. He had never noticed it while sitting in the speaker's chair. Mr. Higgins was making a misstatement in taking the supplementary estimates as founded on the authority of the house. The reason of the supplementary estimates was that the money voted in the previous session was not touched until the 30th of June next. He pointed out too that of the \$134,700 for which a bill of indemnity was brought down in 1894 was an expenditure in connection with the public debt on which a bill of indemnity was given at the time the bill was passed to contract the expenses in connection with the loan, so really it was authorized expenditure.

The leader of the opposition objected to the Premier's remarks and made his explanation, which he said was practically another budget speech.

The Speaker replied that the Premier was quite within his rights and had confined himself to the explanation asked for by Mr. Higgins.

Mr. Bryden in continuing the debate, took up the remarks of Mr. Higgins about the government running into debt and how that gentleman had wrestled and struggled with himself before leaving the government. Mr. Higgins had never had much sympathy with the government but was always on the fence, and had consorted himself for all his wrestling by fancying himself in the financial minister's chair. Mr. Bryden said that he had a plank that Mr. Higgins had called the Cassiar bill the last straw on his back, but Mr. Bryden considered it one of the best bills that ever passed the house. Mr. Bryden defended the large expenditure on roads and trails throughout the province. Speaking of the Cassiar bill he hoped the government would give their attention to getting a railway into the Cassiar country from the coast. He pointed out that the eastern business men who had killed the Teeslin lake railway, because they wanted a road to the north end of the island to connect with a road from the coast to the interior with a view to a good investment. He was glad to see the government encouraging dyking and irrigation. To his mind the Cassiar bill was the government should not turn their attention to the irrigation first as he believed that would give quick returns for the money spent. In answering Mr. Kelly's remarks about the coal barons, he believed that many of those who spoke would be very glad to be coal barons, but Mr. Kelly had said nothing about gold barons or silver barons. Turning to the mines he pointed out that the history of coal mines in the province showed there had not been made the large force of men employed, for many of the coal mines opened

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker—"And water works bills as well."

Mr. Higgins agreed with this and then quickly passed on to the estimates. He said that the estimates of the year were too large. As to education Mr. Higgins said common justice compelled him to say that Hon. Col. Baker had conducted that department without any scandal being attached. Mr. Higgins said that a form of prayer had been used by Hon. Col. Baker in the assembly for use in the schools and that proved he was not at heart in favor of the secular school system followed in this province.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

up at large expense had failed. Speaking of the tax on mine laborers he expressed himself in favor of doing away with it as no man ought to be taxed for the right to labor. Replying to opposition remarks Mr. Bryden denied that the government had any intention of trying to bribe the electors by large appropriations this year. He said that the mining interests of Texada Island and would like to have seen larger appropriations for that part of the province. He commended the government for encouraging agriculture. He had formerly been a great advocate of cheap money, but then he found that there was \$10,000,000 of mortgages on the farms he could not see how the government could advance money to take up such mortgages. As to the Yukon railway, though he was not sorry altogether that he bill at Ottawa had failed, but he hoped to see a better one take its place. He referred before closing to the mining tax and said that he did not believe its removal, for at present it gave the only means possible of taxing a class of aliens who would otherwise be in the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley, the next speaker, devoted a good deal of his attention to Mr. Higgins. He would far rather, he said, see an opposition member in an insincere friend. They had heard Mr. Higgins give an almost fearful account of his own conduct in the house, and then self from the government and the members with whom he had had such pleasant relations. Mr. Higgins had said that in 1885 \$800,000 of estimates had been sent before the house had sanctioned it. The Premier had said that the estimates were not drawn up by one man but by a committee. Mr. Pooley did not wonder at Mr. Higgins describing himself as having assisted in the estimates. He did not know that the supplementary estimates always passed by the house before they were used. Even if he had assisted in the estimates he would not have been asked to do so at the same time he must deny that the document was a private one.

Hon. Mr. Rithet asked what Col. Baker said. There was no insult nor slur intended by Col. Baker in reading the list.

Mr. Higgins held that the documents should not have come before the house without an order. Turning to the railway question Mr. Higgins took exception to the Kootenay and North West railway bill and said he was sure a bill would be brought down to deal with the money or land. The government should follow the principle laid down by Mr. Blair, Dominion minister of railways, and look into the bona fides of companies before granting such a charter. Where men who had got charters from this house built lines which would involve a million dollars and yet have not paid even the printers of their bills. As he said the hon. gentleman in the other house should be looked into before they were granted bills to build railways.

Hon. Col. Baker remarked that Mr. Higgins was romancing. What Mr. Higgins was romancing about was the paper he (Col. Baker) had written to the papers some years ago suggesting that the school children should be taught that portion from the catechism relating to the duty toward one's neighbor.

Mr. Higgins said Hon. Col. Baker was technical to the very system he was administering.

Hon. Col. Baker—"I distinctly deny that."

Mr. Higgins claimed that in an address Col. Baker made in Toronto in April, 1895, he said that unsectarian religious training should be given to the children from education. Mr. Higgins closed by saying that though he differed from the government he had only the kindest feelings for every member of the house. If he was to be considered an enemy of the government so was a man who fled from a burning house and did not stop to flee an enemy of those people. There was no way of beating down this oligarchy, this railway syndicate that was growing up around them than by vigorous action.

## HELPERS

Members of  
in Ignor

Indications  
ment at

According to  
North through  
Barbara Bosc  
San Francisco  
men, who left  
expedition to  
the coast, and  
hundred on a  
hundred on a  
men are still in  
destination; the  
hardest kind of  
thing but please  
faith in Grider  
shaken and they  
whether Grider  
about the coun  
They are paying  
expedition to  
able whether  
about and head  
Loveloy, of the  
Francisco, which  
about a month  
Grider's arrival  
Loveloy's arrival  
Loveloy en route  
He found himself  
some things were  
destination of  
home with the  
May, believing  
Hudson's Bay Co.  
to Glenora, whe  
river opens, he  
party left here  
for Wrangell a  
that that time  
travel on the r  
to Wrangell and  
the Naas, being  
distance of about  
where the trail  
miles of the Naas  
determined to return  
the party could  
rough.

"We were induced  
said Mr. Loveloy  
good prospecting  
Naas to Telegraph  
way to go over  
kind is the Naas  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Grider's party had  
meeting took place  
Naas river, where  
indicated that  
Grider had paid  
charged each of the  
Loveloy's party  
gold diggers.  
was almost a  
scheme, thinking  
the Naas would  
expedition was  
by Missionary Mr.  
Loveloy was  
portage of 90 miles  
I would advise no  
Loveloy's party  
and I would say  
to open."

Continued on Page Five.