apprehension, which would leave \$22.91 as his total cost of apprehension. Is that right?

A.7 That's correct.

In the opinion of the Court it is not necessary to comply with R.P.83(B).

The witness resumes his seat.

SECOND WITNESS FOR THE PROSECUTION 2/Lieut. A.V. GAEBEL, No. 3A District Depot, C.A., being duly sworn, is examined by the Prosecutor:

- Q.8 Lieut. Gaebel, what is your official position in this Depot?
- A.8 I am assistant to the Quartermaster, Sir.
- Q.9 Will you give the Court a full idea as to what and when you found out in regard to your checking of the kit of the accused, Ohr Aubin?
- A.9 On December 30th, 1942, at 3A District Depot Q.M. Stores the articles in possession of this man were checked against his M.F.B.375 and according to that document he was still deficient the following articles, amounting to \$23.82:

Anklets, web, prs	1	\$.77
Greatcoats, drab	1	17.22
Mitts, pullover, leather	1	.64
Battle dress, blouse	1	5.58
Battle dress, trousers	1	5.60
Caps, field service	1	.78
Shirts, khaki, flammel	1	1.17
		\$31.76

Less 25% under C.A.R.O.1877 leaves a total deficiency of \$23.82.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE DEFENDING OFFICER

- Q.10 You say you checked the kit of this man, Mr. Gaebel. What man do you mean?
- A.10 I checked the clothing in possession of Onr Aubin, J.P.E.,

The Prosecutor declines to re-examine.

In the opinion of the Court it is not necessary to comply with R.P.83(B).

The witness withdraws.

The Prosecution has no further witnesses.