

3. Further, as Mr. Pearson said, "the United States" appears more often than is necessary in the resolution, although we all agree that the purpose of the resolution is to play up the United Nations aspect of the Korean operations.

4. There are other verbal points in the resolution which we might make, but we are not anxious to press them. In any event, they are not of great importance and we take it that final drafting will be accomplished in New York.

5. I think I should add, however, that the Prime Minister himself wishes it to be quite clear that the Government attaches the greatest importance to the United Nations auspices for any action by Canadian forces in connection with Korea. You will have noticed the careful phrasing which he used in his statement in the House of Commons on June 30, when he said: "If we are informed that a Canadian contribution to aid United Nations operations under a United Nations commander would be important to achieve the ends of peace ... then the Government wishes Parliament to know that it would immediately consider making such a contribution." Mr. St. Laurent went on to give a specific undertaking that if action by Canada, other than that which he had described, be contemplated, Parliament would immediately be summoned to give the new situation consideration.

6. You will appreciate that, in view of this declaration, the Prime Minister would be obliged to have Parliament called before Canadian forces could be made available in connection with Korea under anything other than United Nations command.

7. I am sending you separate messages letting you have our views concerning the proposal for a Korean Committee of the Security Council and the applicable provisions of the Charter.

34.

DEA/50069-A-40

*Le secrétaire d'État aux Affaires extérieures  
à l'ambassadeur aux États-Unis*

*Secretary of State for External Affairs  
to Ambassador in United States*

TELEGRAM EX-1069

Ottawa, July 5, 1950

SECRET. IMMEDIATE.

Repeat Permdel No. 326; London No. 946.

RE PROPOSED SECURITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE ON KOREA

Following for Wrong from Heeney. Begins: The Minister in his telephone conversation with you yesterday has already told you of his views of the proposal referred to in your WA-1473 of July 4th.

2. Mr. Pearson feels that a Committee of the character referred to in paragraph 2 (7) of your message would be open to practical objection and would be unnecessary from our point of view, at least in present circumstances. He is inclined to think that the functions of liaison proposed for the Committee could be adequately dis-