XVIII.] IN RE ROMAN CATHOLIC SEPARATE SCHOOLS.

L.

If

if

y.

C

ral

he

he

ion

nas

an

ich

tu-

ent

ard

25

ith

ere

evi-

ate-

ing

rson

er-

gent

rate

mp-

oses,

er of

tion

rate-

', ch.

1 the

(b) As to whether such person is or is not a supporter Judgment. of Public or Separate Schools within the meanings Per Curiam. of the provisions of law in that behalf, and

(c) [Which appears to be involved in (b)] whether such

person has been placed in the wrong column of the

assessment roll for the purposes of the school tax. It is also competent for the Court of Revision to determine whether the name of any person wrongfully omitted from the proper column of the assessment roll should be inserted therein upon the complaint of the person himself or of any elector [or ratepayer].

As to the trial of any other fact or particular, under sec. 120 of the Public Schools Act, the answers already given appear to exhaust all facts and particulars thereunder.

3. The assessor is not bound to accept the statement of or made on behalf of any ratepayer under R. S. O. 1887, ch. 225, sec. 120 (2), in case he is made aware or ascertains before completing his roll that such ratepayer is not a Roman Catholic, or has not given the notice required by sec. 40 of the Separate Schools Act, or is for any reason not entitled to exemption from Public School rates.

4. (A) A ratepayer, not a Roman Catholic, being wrongfully assessed as a Roman Catholic and supporter of Separate Schools, who through indivertence or other causes does not appeal therefrom, is not estopped (nor are other ratepayers), from claiming with reference to the assessment of the following or future year, that he is not a Roman Catholic.

4. (B) A ratepayer, being a Roman Catholic, and appearing in the assessment roll as a Roman Catholic and supporter of Separate Schools, who has not given the notice in writing of being such supporter, mentioned in sec. 40 of the Separate Schools Act, is not (nor are the other ratepayers) estopped from claiming in the following or future year, that he should not be placed as a supporter of Separate Schools with reference to the assessment of such year, although he has not given notice of withdrawal mentioned in sec. 47 of the Separate Schools Act.