

actively in the movement, and several prominent Brethren, have been suspended, the agitation has not been arrested. The Committee have received the protest, printed in a pamphlet of the Lodge "La Justice," No. 133, and that of several officers of the First Section—representing a Provincial Division under the English system—against the punishment imposed upon them for the course they have taken. This is a matter of internal Government with which we would have neither the right to argue nor the disposition to interfere. But it is worthy of being mentioned as affording another evidence of the difficulties which surround the Government of Lodges of the Symbolic Degrees by Supreme Councils.

ROUMANIA.

The Committee have received a communication from Bucharest, dated 3rd May last (appendix K), setting forth that the Lodges in that country constituted under the auspices of the "different Masonic powers," and after having "regularized their position with the Powers from which they held" were regularly constituted into a Masonic national and independent authority, having for its title distinctive "The Grand Orient of Roumania," Orient of Bucharest, following the Symbolic Rite. It appears, however, that there is a Supreme Council as part of this Grand Orient. THEODORE G. ROSETTI, formerly Minister and Councillor of the Court of Cassation, and a Knight of several eastern orders, is Grand Master Commander, and Supreme Chief of the Order, while the Supreme Council, "the power administrative and dogmatic" of the Orient has a President, Dr. LUDOVIC FIALA, a Grand Orator, a Chief of Secretarial, and fifteen other members of Supreme Council. The laws of the Grand Orient make up a book of two hundred pages, and have evidently been prepared with the idea that a law can be made for every question that may arise. Your Committee do not know what relations the Supreme Council bears to the Grand Orient, or which controls the other; they have no information as to how the new Supreme Council was formed, or who gave its members the Thirty-third Degree, or if they have degrees at all. They are not, therefore, in a position to recommend any action further than an exchange, informally, of publication with its Chief of the Secretarial, Mr. BASILE CONSTANDINESCO LIVIANO, Strada Stelei 16, Bucharest.

THE ARGENTINE REPUBLIC.

We have received a communication (appendix L), from a body professing to be a Supreme Council in the Argentine Republic. So far as your Committee can understand there is no change in the hostile attitude towards each other of the Masonic Powers in that Republic, with none of which can your Committee advise the Supreme Council to enter into fraternal relations.

PERU.

Nothing of importance has been received from the Supreme Council of Peru. Last year your Committee announced that the Supreme Council had demanded the dissolution of the Lausanne Confederation. In May of last year the Peruvian Supreme Council made it a part of its Masonic Creed that Free-

masons should
punishment.

We have a
Supreme Council
last year, there
Council in St. I
recognition of the
territory which o
on friendly terms
consent. The S
members of the
although after ab
rule of Spain, wh
of the Supreme
authorities, whose
would recognize t
would end.

Our Ill. Sov
tative near this
recommend that t
objection is inter
We should hear w
existing in an inde

In the matters
for the most part,
report does not, to
ordinates. They m
that differences as t
the ardent zeal of t
hood, which is the

On motion o
MACKAY, the P
for subsequent

The Secretar
their Annual R