

thing *extenuate*, nor set down aught in *malice*."—
 I have produced *facts*, where facts are to be met
 with ; and have never depended on conjectures,
 but where the nature of the case would not admit
 of stronger proof. I think I have pretty clearly
 made it apparent, that, however *laborious* your
 life may have been, those *labours* have been uni-
 formly and unremittingly employed for the
 advancement only of *party interests*, and never for
 the *great leading interests* of the *community* or NA-
 TION at large ; and that you are yourself the
 only individual in the kingdom who has been be-
 nefited by them, and that by the very *pension*
 which is the subject matter in dispute. I have
 shewn by facts which are most of them on record,
 that your political conduct in the House of Com-
 mons, is a tissue of contradictions so gross and
 glaring, that there would be no occasion to put
 the public in mind of them but from the differ-
 ent and distant periods in which they severally
 took place, and the fluctuating and revolving
 state in which society continually exists. Some
 of the important events spoken of, have passed
 within the memory of almost all who are capable
 at the present moment to form a judgment of
 them—many more, at such distant periods as to
 make them unknown to a majority of the pre-
 sent day. I have therefore stated them fairly,
 and often without a single comment. The nature
 of this reply would not admit of it, or I would have

shewn