570 Langille, er-ex. 569 Clausefint As Joseph Clause yes juthe diretatime---Q. Had you tinves tigsted Jds Clause at all up to 2 that time had AneInspector Charks-apparently wish to 3 read Que of You the d moto though ? . Ale Well, II had accom-4 penied inspector Chark, your tordship , and I did 5 accompany him in practically this entire investigation. 6 Q. Before the preliminary? A. Yes, your lord-7 ship. A. Geven pages, Mr. Liekers. 8 Q. Southat you had had an in terview -----9 whet it is, but you had had an interview with Joe 10 Clauses by thet time head, We had, yours 11 Q. Long before this ? ... .. Long before this, your 12 lordship.ct.tom of each one of these? A. He was asked 13 to iMR. LICKERS: Que Did you have an interview with 14 Joe Clausenes al result of this statement? shall was 15 present, when he was interviewed. A. He signed the last 16 page Q ... has a sreaul t? of the information in this of 17 statement fas At, Yest he initialled page 6, page 5, page 18 4. pass Thensyoulaskedphim the question, "Is there 19 anything more which you wish to tell me in connection 20 with this matter?" and the answertwas; "I don't think 21 there is anything else. What I have now told you is 22 the absolute truth. Mak Didtgousquestion him shouts that, 23 as to whether itwas the absolute truth or not? 24 As May I refer to the statement and answer you? 25 TohrMRs WALLACE: A. Fiftheline from the bottom in-WITHESSINGI cortainly did not question him any 26 27 furtheras Londship: Any re-examination, Mr. Wallager MR: LICERRS: Q. . But you still knew that there 28 were certain discrepancies in connection with this 29 30

05

Indian Affairs. (RG 10, Volume 7466, File 19032-13, Pt. 1).

PUBLIC ARCHIVES ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES CANADA

