given, that his heirs would receive the money he had paid with reasomable interest thereon. There is no possibility of uny corporation affording all these things to parties who desire to obtain annuities. As a matter of fact, I have carefully examined the records of the insurance companies as contained in the reports of our insurance officers, and I find that there are practically no annuities now being taken out in Canada; nor do I belleve that under existing conditions there ever would be, particularly by wage-earners, and for this obvious reason; no wage-earner of the ordinary kind desiring to make such an investment at an carly age, could feel absolute certainty that an ordinary corporation, no matter how well managed it might be, no matter how solvent it might be at that partlcular moment, would be equally solvent and equally well mamaged twenty; thirty or, forty years thereafter. As I said, these things can only be secured by the state. The working man ean trust the state, and nobody else, and as a matter of fact I do not believe he would trust anybody else.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY-I would ask the hon. gentleman, are we to understand a discus sion is goling to také place on this question? This is quite irregular.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHTIt is somewhat irregular, but my hon. friend has asked a question which $I$ can only answer in this irregular way. I am aware It is not precisely in order, but I suppose I could place myself in order by moving the adjournment of the House. Does the hon. gentleman desire me to do that?

Hon, Mr. LANDRY-No; we will move the adjournment of the discussion until tomorröw.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHTI may say to the Senate that this is a subject on which $I$ thinis we can, with the time at our disposial, very well afford to give a few minates, even if we do slightly transgress the .strict etiquette of the situation. This is not a party measure in any shape or form.
 courtesy had been extended to me in regard to the question $I$ brought up, wist week. Inasmuch as we have so much time to
spare, the question I introduced might have been discussed insted of being shelved siné die.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWBIGHITI think this is perhaps a little more germane to the interests of the people of Canada than the aequisition of the north pole. Butthat is a matter of opinion.

Hon. Mr: POIRIER-It might be a matter of oplaion.

Hon, Mr. LANDRY-It is not so refreshing.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHTI phace myself at the disposal of the House. If hon. gentlemen do not desire me to proceed, I can find another opportunity for: concluding my rematis.

Some hon. GENTLDEMEN-Go on.
Hon. Sir RICHARD CAITWRIGHTXThe question is, what resuits can reasonably be promised, "and at what cost can they be obtained? As.I say, I have bestowed some consideration on this matter. I am not speaking at random; 'I am' not speaking without cadculation and not with: out haying my calculations earefully resised by some of the best actuaries in Canada. If the state will provide the machi-nery-and it can do that very cheaply in-deed-if the state is willing to allow from three and one-half to three and three quar: ters per cent-and I am making this statement after carefur calculation taking the present average of wages which prevalls in Canada, allowing for the average duration. of life, in the classes with whom we whll chiefly deal, I say these results can be ob" tained. If any man chooses, when he comes of age, to put aside the wage of one. or one and one-half hours, per week, or one half day per month, I say that the state could afford when that man attained to the age of 60, to guarantee him an annuity of $\$ 120$ a year, or if he would accept a deferred annuity commencing at 65, of $\$ 200$ a year, all payments ceasing at the age of 60.- Of course I need not point out to hon. gentlemen here that larger payment would produce larger results, and that in other case of commencing at a later age the payments would be proportionately larger than the one I have taken for the moment, as what may be called the unit of calcula-

