

SCHEDULE C.

SHOWING the Claims referred and (without interest) the amount demanded in each case, and the amount allowed, if any.

Name of Claimant.	Amount Claimed.	Amount allowed by Com- missioners.	Under the terms of our Commis- sion this is excluded from our enquiry for the reason below mentioned.
Alex. McDonell & Co.....	\$ 91,479 20	\$ 17,161 00	
do	47,005 98	47,005 98	
D. Macdonald.....	60,098 61	
do	251,873 74	16,641 00	
do	54,430 72	14,896 31	
Bertrand & Co.....	285,667 91	Finished by the Crown at a loss.
do	316,184 61	do do
Starr & DeWolf	62,874 64	3,077 08	
do	427,277 20	do do
Sumner & Somers.....	254,251 00	do do
W. E. McDonald.....	199,430 00	do do
Neilson & McGaw	54,767 00	18,138 00	
R. H. McGreevy.....	826,452 00	
McBean & Robinson.....	12,709 00	3,056 00	
J. G. Fraser.....	4,252 03	No privity of contract.
Donald Fraser.....	10,174 00	5,847 00	
M. Murphy	21,511 00	8,947 00	
McCarron & Cameron	27,712 00	
Smith & Pitbaldo	78,013 85	
E. A Jones.....	95,141 34	10,354 00	
S. P. Tuck	117,420 00	Finished by the Crown at a loss.
Berlinquet & Co.....	363,980 71	do do
do	363,720 98	do do
Elliott, Grant & Co.....	59,289 00	do do
H. B. Higginson.....	20,128 36	No privity of contract.
H. Clark	450 00	
Mrs. Barberie.....	244 00	
G. C. Sutherland	4,318 08	
F. Turgeon	2,225 00	1,500 00	do
Wm. Muirhead.....	2,651 27	do
E. P. Ellis	51 50	do
A. Daval	104 55	do
W. S. Bateman	125 50	do
M. Cowhig	1,601 36	do
D. Begin	500 00	
J. M. Blaikie	1,799 53	1,126 73	
K. F. Burus	831 36	do
Alphonse Matte.....	1,985 19	297 00	
F. Meahan	810 00	do
J. Russell	20 00	20 00	
Sylvain & Lepage	8,644 00	
Finnihan & Hawk	184 50	do
J. D. Fraser.....	1,560 00	do
John Calligan	867 00	
A. Johnson & Co.....	506 60	506 60	
J. T. Smith	9,373 37	do
J. H. Patton	601 00	do
J. McDonald	Not named	
H. D. Murray	110 00	do
David Murray	100 00	do
Wm. Murray	300 00	do
E. Hicks	198 00	150 00	
Geo. Langille	150 00	do
Ed. Shea	50 40	do
	4,146,207 00	148,705 62	

N.B.—It will be noticed that most of the cases excluded from our enquiry were on the grounds of "no privity of contract," which in itself is a complete answer to the claim. In each one mentioned as "finished by the Crown at a loss," the whole demand for extras was fully investigated, but those allowed by us did not reach the amount overpaid to the claimant on the contract work.