

THE
ONTARIO WEEKLY REPORTER

(TO AND INCLUDING APRIL 7TH, 1906.)

VOL. VII. TORONTO, APRIL 12, 1906. No. 13

MULOCK, C.J.

MARCH 30TH, 1906.

TRIAL.

VOKES HARDWARE CO. v. GRAND TRUNK R. W. CO.

Mechanics' Liens—Time for Registering Lien—Completion of Work—Contract—Work to be Done to Satisfaction of Architects—Work Done after Registration of Lien.

Action to recover \$1,447.50, claimed by plaintiffs as the balance owing to them on a sub-contract with defendant Whitham for the erection and completion by plaintiffs of the tile flooring, wainscoting, and marble work on a railway station which defendant Whitham had contracted to build for defendant company.

The action was begun under the Mechanics' Lien Act, the claim of lien having been registered on 24th June, 1905.

One of the terms of plaintiffs' sub-contract was that the work was to be done to the entire satisfaction of Spiers and Rohan, the architects.

J. W. St. John, for plaintiffs.

L. F. Heyd, K.C., for defendant Whitham.

MULOCK, C.J.:— . . . Defendant Whitham contended that the lien had not been registered within the time allowed by the statute, and, further, that plaintiffs were not entitled to a personal order under sec. 48, on the ground that at the time of the beginning of this action plaintiffs had