practifed at Hutson's-Bay, should be continued by a private Company, exclusively, or should be open to all his Majesty's Subjects in general.

His Majesty having thus explain'd his Sentiments, makes no Difficulty to declare, in Answer to the De-

mands made by the Court of France;

1st, That effectual Orders bave already. been given, not to commit any Attempt, either on the Side of Nova-Scotia, or on that of Hudson's-Bay, against the Trade, or against the Possessions of his Most Christian Majesty's Subjects; or that might, in any Respect, derogate from the definitive Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, or from any former Engage-

ments actually existing between the two Crowns.

2d, That his Majesty consents to nominate forthwith, two Commissioners, to confer at Paris, with those who shall be appointed by his most Christian Majesty, concerning the respective Limits, which are actually in Dispute between the two Crowns; not only those which concern Nova-Scotia or Acadia, but also the Limits in other Parts of that Continent, wherefoever the Settlements of the two-Nations border one upon another; as also, to determine (according to a Proposal made in a former Memorial) which are the Islands belonging to each Crown, and which are to remain neutral. "

Before his Majesty approved of any Settlements raised by his Subjects in that Part of Nova-Scotia, to which though undoubtedly belonging to the King) France has laid Claim, he consented to submit his Pretensions to the Decision of Commissioners, as a convincing Proof of his Defire to preferve the Union and Harmony so happily

restored between the two Crowns.

NUMB. II.

A Letter from my L. Albemarle, to M. the Marquis de Puyzieulx, complaining of a Detachment sent by M. de la Jonquiere, to pro-test the Inhabitants of Chiboudi. Paris, March 25, 1750.

Have this Moment received a Letter from the Duke of Bedford, wherein I have Orders from his Majesty, to represent to your Excellency, the Causes of Complaint,

fince the King nch King's Dethe Limits of to its ancient of Possession of ca, which have ; nor can it be nts, which must ice, in a Part of ubted Right to! cotia, are to be nissioners, it is contained in the ad, M. Durand on the Plan proo incroach upon the Indians tostend the British

Court of France uring them that ent, had absoluteexclusive Combeen practifed a der a private Paextend a general ge the Possessions

ce of the Rights

t enter into Par-

d Plan not being

ther. in Parliament, it of the Question; ion, it cannot be Court of France d only a Relation of the Kingdom de, a long Time practiled