

To my mind this is main argument in favor because it is permanent and unchangeable and irrefutable. This disproves / argument that Sherbrooke site is too valuable a site to be given up to this work.

Other arguments

- 1) Convenience more conveniently situated - might be permanent might be temporary. It all depends on
- 2) I believe lower campus will always be centre of student activities at McGill - give figures.

P.

Purely temporary arguments,

- 1) Question of immediate cost
- 2) A beginning can be made with domes, (point out objections,
- 3) Convenience of union, R.O.S.C.

Lastly - all authorities I have consulted favor Sherbrooke site.

Objections I have heard

- 1) Sherbrooke site too valuable.
- 2) Desirability of getting students off Sherbrooke site (Gymnasium never was and never will be centre of student life)
- 3) Will delay development of MacDonald Park
- 4) Shower baths, dressing rooms and lavatories needed at Stadium.
- 5) Will spoil the hollow (6) will shut out view of beautiful front of Physical building, (7) will spoil view of Campus,
- 8) will shut out view of mountain (9) Sheds set up in areas against it. (10) Gym should be by our beautiful old union
- 11) will be too much noise in Sherbrooke for students to study and also worse for gym will be too close to the classrooms