

its recommendations. The minister will certainly be prepared to make a statement at the proper time on the government's orientation in applying its policy on multiculturalism.

**Mr. Broadbent:** A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. The Minister of Labour having indicated that, among other proposals, the government plans to eliminate federal funding for third language training in schools, may I ask the Prime Minister if that specific idea is government policy and, if so, if it was arrived at after consultation with provincial governments and the Canadian Consultative Council on Multiculturalism?

**Mr. Trudeau:** Mr. Speaker, I do not know from what the hon. member is quoting. I will have to see what the minister said and only then will I be able to say if he expressed government policy. I repeat, the government does not intend to lend any less emphasis to its strong belief in the policy on multiculturalism. The government, in many areas, is trying to bring in new programs which will implement the government's policy even better in all fields. This, in some cases, may mean switching funds from one area to another but will not mean renegeing in any way on that important program.

#### REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON GOVERNMENT'S PLANS

**Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby):** A final supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Since the views reportedly attributed to the Minister of Labour in quotation marks will, if implemented, constitute a major redirection of government policy in this area and a rejection of the proposals of the Consultative Council on Multiculturalism, will the Prime Minister make the commitment to the House that the Minister of Labour will make a statement in the House at an early date on precisely what are the government's plans in this important area?

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** Mr. Speaker, in view of my answer, and in view of the question, this, it seems to me, is clearly hypothetical at this stage.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

#### OLYMPIC GAMES

##### ALLEGED DISINTEREST OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN PROJECT

**Mr. Eudore Allard (Rimouski):** Mr. Speaker, I wish to direct a question to the right hon. Prime Minister.

Considering that the Canadian government advised the International Olympic Committee in 1969 that Canada was eager to host the Olympic Games, and that a few months ago it introduced and had adopted legislation to authorize the holding of an Olympic Lottery and the sale of Olympic coins and stamps, could the right hon. Prime Minister explain to the House the apparent lack of interest his government is now showing for these games?

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** Mr. Speaker, I must indeed make an effort to reply dispassionately to this question. In my opinion, it proceeds from most com-

plete ignorance, which astonishes me on the part of an hon. member who speaks of federal government disinterest. I hope the hon. member will seek information. He need only consider the acts which this government has introduced in the House over the past few years and which are responsible for the greater part of the Olympic Games financing. That is why I feel it is somewhat foolish to speak of federal government lack of interest in the Olympic Games.

\* \* \*

#### AGRICULTURE

##### POSSIBLE ELIMINATION OF TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY ON FEED GRAIN

**Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette):** Mr. Speaker, I should like to put a question to the Minister of Agriculture.

As a Quebec City daily claims that federal officials hesitate to publish an order in council announcing the refusal of the government to grant a subsidy to the transport of feed grain, can the minister tell the House whether the federal government really intends to do away with the subsidy on the transport of feed grain towards eastern Canada and more particularly towards Quebec?

[English]

**Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture):** Mr. Speaker, no decision has been made on that.

[Translation]

##### INQUIRY AS TO GOVERNMENT INTENTIONS WITH REGARD TO INDUSTRIAL MILK SUBSIDY

**Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette):** Mr. Speaker, on a supplementary.

I wish to ask the minister whether, in view of the worries of the Dairy Producers Association, he can tell the House if the government intends to encourage a decrease in the subsidies now granted industrial milk producers, or even eventually cancel those subsidies?

[English]

● (1420)

**Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture):** Mr. Speaker, we announced how much money we were going to pay on milk subsidies last April. We are paying that much for the current dairy year. We told them to live within their quota, production and so on of one million hundred-weight of milk. They are in overproduction at the present time. They are creating surpluses of skim milk powder and other dairy products. We do not intend to increase the subsidy to them. We announced at that time that the subsidy would be decreased as of April 1, 1976.

\* \* \*

#### AIR TRANSPORT

##### DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT OF POLICY ON REGIONAL CARRIERS—ALLOCATION OF CHARTER FLIGHTS TO TRANSAIR

**Mr. Dan McKenzie (Winnipeg South Centre):** Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Minister of Transport, I will