have said, the new position of power and responsibility of the United States as the leader of the free world. This latter fact, as I see it, means that our own relations with the United States have entered upon a new phase within the last few years. It does not mean that they should not be or cannot be as close and friendly as they have been in the past. Canadians, with very few exceptions, indeed -- and those exceptions mostly of the communist persuasion -- all hope this will be the case and want to do what they can to make it possible and even easy. Certainly that is the policy of this government, as it has been

Well, what is the nature of this change I have been talking about, and not only inside the house? Hitherto questions which from time to time we have had to discuss and decide with the United States were largely bilateral matters between neighbours. They arose from such things as border between neighbours. disputes, differences over the diversion of water and so on, or had to do with commerce back and forth across the boundary. Of course they were often complicated and difficult enough. Now, however, we are not only neighbours but allies. I think perhaps that is the simplest way to indicate the change that I think has come over the nature of our relations with the United States. We have always been good neighbours, accustomed to settling our differences in a neighbourly spirit. Now we are good allies, and as allies we must do our best to settle, in our customary friendly way, such differences as may exist between us from time to time. But the questions we shall have to discuss in this way will often be of a character arising from our senior and junior partnership in a common association. They will often deal with the policies to be association. They will often deal with the policies to be followed by that association in the North Atlantic Pact or within the United Nations, very often indeed within the United Nations.

It is perhaps not unnatural that many people in Canada and the United States have not yet realized this change. It has come about rather suddenly, and I doubt if in either country we have yet completely adjusted ourselves to it. On Tuesday of last week, I believe, the Prime Minister (Mr. St. Laurent) gave an illustration of one of the new ceterories of subjects under discussion between Can the new categories of subjects under discussion between Canada and the United States when he announced in this house the recommendations of the Permanent Joint Board on Defence, which had been accepted by both governments, for the revision of the lease under which the United States holds certain bases The discussions on this subject between in Newfoundland. Canada and the United States were carried on in a friendly and co-operative spirit, as is our habit, and they have resulted in a compromise which I think will commend itself to the house as reasonable in the circumstances. The problem itself arises out of the defence requirements of the United States on Canadian soil, requirements not merely for its own security but for the security of the free world. It also arises, however, out of the necessity of the United States meeting these legitimate requirements in a way which recognizes Canadian jurisdiction and, even more important, Canadian self-respect.

;y

.у

:al

ıe

In an age of atomic weapons and long range bombers Canada is obviously now of far greater importance to the defence of North America and the North Atlantic area than ever before. For that reason, and because we are now joined as allies in the North Atlantic Treaty, inevitably from time to time there will be other defence questions of very

- 3 -