

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XXXIII.

APRIL 1, 1897.

NO. 7.

In another column we publish an interesting account by Sir Charles Hibbert Tupper of the proceedings before the recent Commission of Enquiry into the damages from wrongful arrest suffered by British sealing vessels in the North Pacific and Behring Sea. The following *not* in connection with the Commission deserves to be recorded. The story runs in this wise: A certain member of the legal fraternity in Ottawa, who is something of a wag, met one of the eminent counsel engaged in the Arbitration proceedings immediately after the latter's return from the Pacific Coast. "I am glad to see you back again," said the Ottawa man, "did they treat you well in Victoria?" "Most hospitably," replied the eminent counsel, "but the proceedings were tedious and we were really stuck there too long!" "I don't wonder at that," rejoined he of Ottawa, "the *locus sigilli* has always been regarded as a very proper place to *stick* at, you know!"

The Law Society of British Columbia recently adopted the report of a committee appointed to arrange a redistribution of the sessions of the Courts of that Province by which appeals are to be heard at Vancouver as well as at Victoria, as follows: A full court of two judges to sit at Vancouver, for hearing interlocutory appeals in cases commenced by a writ of summons issued out of Vancouver or Westminster registry, also appeals from County Courts of Westminster and Vancouver, such court to sit five days prior to sittings of Full court at Victoria. Circuits to be rearranged in such a way that all judges can attend at the full court at Victoria, that no judge shall be away from his place of residence for a