## SOME POPUILAR ERRORS.

## EDGAK S. BRIGHTMAN.

And errors are popular ; there's no denying that. Oh, I don't mean errors in stamps-they would be more popular if less expensivebut errors in opinion and judgment; and it really seems that the more erratic the error is, the readier the unthinking public is to accept it as very gospel. the wonderful eccentricities and vagarics of the philatelic public would almost lead one to infer that stamp collectors are, as a class, deficient in intellect, were it not for the fact that we have daily proof that the whole world is decidedly unaccountable in its actions, so we may console ourselves on that ssore.
The first popular delusion to which I will take occasion to refer is in regard to surcharges. But perhaps it would be doing a majority of the people injustice to call it "popular," but among a certain class it has gained a considerable following, and this following makes its presence known most vociferously.
Stated briefly, the delusion is this: Surcharges are nor good looking, are speculative, are bound to depreciate in value, and are not worthy of a place in any selfrespecting collector's album. The last statement does r. $\mu \mathrm{t}$ deserve any reply and the first depends largely on individual taste; although for myself, I think that a neat surcharge and they generally are neat-dues not detract one whit from the beauty of a stamp, for they are, in most cases, impressed on the stamp so as to avoid defacing the design. With regard to the assertion that they are speculative, a moment's thought shows that to be fallacious. It is true that many surcharges are speculative, but that proves nothing whatever, cither for or against it, for it is equally true that many unsurcharged ordinary stamps are also speculative. I beliere,. and with reason, that the majority of the ordinary issues are not speculative in any sense of the term, and likewise by far the greater part of those which have been surcharged were treated thus under stress of the necessity of the postal servi-e, and not for mere sale to stamp collectors.

Concerning the value of this kind of stamps, it is an easy matter to assert in glittering generalities flat they decrease in price. But these gencralities mean nothing unless backed up by figures and by facts. 1 will give a few facts from the cataloguc. Let the reader bear in mind that the fifty-seventh edition of the catalogue was subject to much greater discounts than the fifty-ninth, and that 1897 was an
era of infiated prices. Remembering this, I sity notice the following figures with regard to surchargos, and then think. Opening my catalogue at random, I happened to turn to Mauritius, and a number of surcharges there attrated my notice. Number forty nine, .s priced $\$ 10.00$ in the fifty ninth, only $\$ 7.00$ in the fifty seventh. Number eighty is in the fifty ninth $\$ 1.00$, and in the previous one 500 . Ther, casting my eye over the Natal pages, 1 find that numbers eighteen to forty six inclusive, are all surcharges, and that out of twenty cight stamps, there were but four which lost in price from 1897 to 1900, and the total decrease was twenty seven cents! contrast this with the gains made by the other twenty tour, in one case as much as $\$ 20.00$, and then, if you can, say that surcharges are not a good investment. Or if it be considered unfair to speak only of British colonics, there is Porto (not Puerto, if you please) Rico. Numbers one to thirteen are all surcharges: and unsightly ones at that, but there is not one of them that lost a hair's breadth in their price. And so on, ad infinitum, one might give countless instances to prove that surcharges are good things financially. 1 trust that the public will see the error of its way and no longer vilify these innocent and desirable stamps.

But I promised at the beginning of this article to tell you about some errors, and here's only one that I've mentioned, and my space is all used up. Well,. l'm sorry but maybe I will have a little more to say in the future.

## Packets..

ito. 102-Contains stamps from Heligroland, New Zealand, New South Wales, Queensland, Macau Hawaii I: land, Paraguay, Straits Settlements, Newfoundland, Hong Kong, New Brunswick, Western Australia, etc., only 2 jc .

No. 75 -Contains India, Japan, Siam, Cuba, Canada Jubilce, Puerto Rica, Costa Rica, Brazil, Mexico, Spain, etc., only $20 c$.

No 63-Contain: stamps From Egypt, Spain, Costa Rica, Braxil, Hawaii Islands, Rare Canadians, etc., 100 stamps for $10 c$.
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| 15 c | 200 |
| 20C "4 " | 25 c |
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| \$10 " | Si 13 |
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| 2 on 3c Can Numerals | 40 |
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