

(b) The date that the identification services file was opened and the date of the last fingerprint form submitted for that individual.

(c) Categories of the types of offences the record subject had been charged with. Offences are categorized as to being offences of violence, robbery, offensive weapons, breaking and entering, theft of vehicles, thefts, drugs, fraud, sex, other criminal code, other federal statutes, failing to attend (bail) and arson.

(4) Names used by the subject of the record as recorded on fingerprint forms received by identification services.

2. No. A criminal record on which the synopsis is based is indexed as a federal information bank, bank index No. 23825, allowing an individual to institute procedures to determine and challenge information contained in the criminal record. Any resulting changes made to the criminal record will be reflected in the criminal record synopsis. Procedures to access and challenge the content of the criminal record are contained in the index to federal data banks available at most Canadian post offices.

3. Yes. Two changes approved by the last advisory committee which are in the process of being implemented to the criminal record synopsis are:

(a) removal of the information outlining the individual's current status in the judicial system, and

(b) inclusion of an additional offence type, i.e., Criminal Code driving offences.

4. (a) Direct access to CPIC files is limited to: (i) the RCMP, (ii) provincial police forces, and (iii) city police and those municipal police forces approved by the CPIC advisory committee.

Currently approximately 2,500 Canadian police units have access to CPIC.

A police force having direct access to CPIC may disseminate information to other agencies legislatively responsible for law enforcement on a need-to-know basis. In addition, the Attorney General or Solicitor General of Canada or the attorney general or solicitor general of a province or territory may approve dissemination of CPIC information to other agencies.

The liaison services branch of telecommunications and EDP directorate, RCMP, is responsible for co-ordinating investigations into complaints respecting violation of CPIC policy and procedures. If the investigation is unable to satisfactorily resolve the identified problem to the mutual satisfaction of all parties concerned, the complaint will be brought before the CPIC Advisory Committee for a decision.

Repeated or serious breaches of established policy and procedures will be referred to the CPIC advisory committee for action.

(b) See part 1(c).

(c) See part 4(a).

Agriculture

5. Our statistics are available only from 1975. To date, five complaints of arrest arising from misinformation in the data bank have been received at CPIC. In most of these cases the person was arrested on a warrant of committal for which the fine had been paid but the courts had not notified the police accordingly.

IRDIA GRANTS

Question No. 2,797—**Mr. Waddell:**

1. From 1967 to 1978, under the provisions of the Industrial Research and Development Incentives Act (IRDIA), were grants given to Imperial Oil or to any of its subsidiaries and, if so, in each case (a) to which company (b) in what amount (c) on what date?

2. Did the Syncrude consortium receive grants under the provisions of IRDIA and, if so, in each case, in what amount and on what date?

Hon. Herb Gray (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): 1. and 2. In accordance with section 13 of the Industrial Research and Development Incentives Act, information concerning recipients of IRDIA grants cannot be divulged.

[*English*]

Mr. Smith: Madam Speaker, I would ask that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

[*Translation*]

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[*English*]

Mr. Nielsen: Madam Speaker, I wonder if there is an explanation for the parliamentary secretary not having given us the usual copies of the questions to be answered today. Neither the whip nor myself received the customary copies.

Mr. Smith: Madam Speaker, I was not aware that they had not received it. I will look into the matter and let the hon. member know privately.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[*English*]

BUSINESS OF SUPPLY

ALLOTTED DAY, S.O. 58—ALLEGED FAILURE TO SUPPORT FARMERS

Mr. Charles Mayer (Portage-Marquette) moved:

That this House, noting the disastrous increase in farm bankruptcies, condemns this government for its continuing failure to support Canada's farmers, and its inability to curtail the devastation high interest rates are bringing to Canada's agriculture section.

He said: Mr. Speaker, it is always a pleasure and a privilege to have the opportunity of speaking in this House, particularly