Inquiries of the Ministry

Mr. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands): A supplementary question. Will the planes in question be carrying any explosive bombs, incendiary bombs or any other explosive devices that could constitute a danger to the civilian population in the event of any of them meeting with a mishap?

Mr. Cadieux (Labelle): No, Mr. Speaker. I believe this was stressed in the press communiqué. There will not be any weapons aboard.

Mr. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands): A further supplementary. In view of the fact the minister has explained that the purpose of the exercise is to familiarize pilots with unfamiliar terrain, could this exercise not be held as well 100 or 200 miles north where there is less population and where it would be less likely to cause any concern on the part of the population?

Mr. Cadieux (Labelle): Mr. Speaker, if I might again be allowed to refer the hon. member to the press release that was made, we did indicate that the routes which have been selected are the most sparsely populated in that particular area.

[Translation]

AGRICULTURE

REPRESENTATION ON DAIRY COMMISSION—POLICY RESPECTING SURPLUS MILK

Mr. Gilbert Rondeau (Shefford): Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Minister of Agriculture, I wish to ask his Parliamentary Secretary a question.

Has the government changed the policy of the Canadian Dairy Commission which had been established by the former Minister of Agriculture who said that the Canadian Dairy Commission could hardly operate without a commissioner representing milk purchasers and concerned with the sale of dairy products, since the recent appointment to the Canadian Dairy Commission indicates that no commissioner has been named to represent purchasers?

Mr. Speaker: I take the liberty of suggesting to the hon. member that his question is rather argumentative. He could perhaps rephrase it, so that the Parliamentary Secretary may answer it.

Mr. Rondeau: With your leave, Mr. Speaker, I should like to rephrase my question.

Could the Parliamentary Secretary tell the House whether the Canadian Dairy Commis-[Mr. Cadieux (Labelle).]

(Nanaimo-Cowichan-The sion now intends to deprive itself of the serentary question. Will the vices of a commissioner representing milk per carrying any explosive purchasers?

Mr. Florian Côté (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Agriculture): Mr. Speaker, at the present time as in the past years, three commissioners are members of the Canadian Dairy Commission. One resigned some two months ago. His post was filled and a press release was published to that effect. So nothing is changed.

Mr. Rondeau: I should like to put a supplementary question, Mr. Speaker.

Can the Parliamentary Secretary indicate whether the surpluses of fluid milk which have been mentioned yesterday, when the government policy was announced, will also be submitted to a policy of penalization?

Mr. Côté: Mr. Speaker, the representatives of milk producers associations have undertaken a study with the milk producers in order to solve this problem themselves, since it lies outside the federal jurisdiction, but is within the provincial jurisdiction.

Mr. Rondeau: Mr. Speaker, I have a complementary question.

Can the Parliamentary Secretary explain why, according to the new policy, the sum of \$1.25 per hundredweight will be deductible at the plant on surpluses, even if the producers do not receive a subsidy?

[English]

NATIONAL PARKS

SUPREME COURT DECISION UPHOLDING VALIDITY OF PERPETUAL LEASES—
TEMPORARY HABITATIONS

Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prince Albert): My question is directed to the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. It has to do with the judgments by the Supreme Court of Canada in the Queen v. Walker and the Queen v. M. E. Clark and Son Ltd., by which judgments the Supreme Court of Canada decided that the arbitrary order of the department that a 42-year perpetual lease could not be renewed were beyond the powers of the government or the department. Under the circumstances, will the minister say what will happen to those people who have lived either in Jasper National Park or in Banff National Park and who, under coercion, signed renewals and thereby through misunderstanding did away with their rights? Is the government going to place