

to a vote, but which we will not initiate.

There are other things, sir, over which we are not at all happy, and which we will vote against, despite the balance and compromise of the text, because we regard them as fundamental. I am thinking here of the provision on financing, under which each state pays its own man--who may end up being just that.

We are inclined, Mr. Chairman, to tie the committee as closely as possible to the United Nations itself; to locate it squarely within the framework of the organization; and to support it with the prestige, the momentum, and the impartiality which complete identification with our organization can provide--and which cannot be provided so easily in any other way. It is for this reason that we favour what we understand will be an amendment to paragraph 6 and, failing that, this opportunity to put on record our preference for the principle of U.N. financing.

The Canadian delegation nevertheless recognizes that there are powerful arguments on the other side, and that many delegates have expressed a preference for financing as provided for in the present paragraph 6 of article 8. We are, as I have said, conscious of the fact that much patient and arduous negotiating has gone into the preparation of the text; and it may be that the present limits of consensus have been stretched as far as they will go. It is quite possible that we have in fact done the most we can do to draw the line between a committee of the parties--a private committee, so to speak--and a committee of the United Nations--a public committee, so to speak. That may be the situation. The Canadian delegation hopes