making changes in policy if there were unanimous...if there was a unanimous report from this committee. Are you not concerned then that it's possible you may end up in a situation a year from now being forced to back track on a number of things whether it's SDI or whether it's defence policy, that you may be forced to back track on issues that are becoming government policy.

Sure, if we are wrong and if there is a process CLARK: that indicates that the public...that a parliamentary committee after consulting the public, has come to the considered view that we are wrong, then a government, I would think, would have to seriously consider changing its policy. I don't find anything, you know, remarkable. What would be more remarkable would be if I said that if a government was wrong and embarked on a policy and a committee came in with a unanimous persuasive view after · public consultation that said we were wrong, that we were going to ignore it. I mean that would invalidate the process. I'm trying to make the point that not only are we launching this process, we intend ot respect it. Now, I can't predict what our...what the position of my colleagues in Cabinet would be with regard to a recommendation who's...that hasn't happened yet, from a committee. I'm trying to indicate my disposition and the disposition of the government and that is to take the process very seriously because if we don't, I think we lose more than the value of the recommendation that might be in question.

MODERATOR: John Brady indicates we have time for one more question.

You suggest in the paper, Mr. Clark, that ODA can

Q: